

The New Ensign

No. 55 March 2014

This publication is for private circulation only



Calling The True Israel Peoples

Editorial

Dear Reader,

Agenda 21



Flooded Somerset Levels - Glastonbury Tor and how it might have looked when it was the Isle of Avalon

Most of the New Ensign readers will be well aware of the United Nations Agenda 21, which is a blueprint for a massive world population reduction particularly of the Caucasians.

This agenda is now in full swing and is being implemented by stealth on a multitude of fronts such as spreading disease through mass vaccination, pesticides sprayed on food crops, in the water we drink, aerial spraying and not least weather control.

As we go to press, it has come to light that the recent flooding of the Somerset levels is a deliberate policy to force the population to move into cities, where they can be better controlled. A diligent researcher came across an EU directive to this effect - so in order to blur the

facts and keep it off public debate, the powers that be through their control of the mass media diverted attention away by the dredge or not to dredge debate, for if the real cause was to become known the politicians position would be untenable.

The establishment is now on the defensive because of the number of people waking up to the fact that the government does not have our best interests at heart and the same time more and more people are reporting the treasonous actions of HM Government ministers, while at the moment they are able to sweep these reports under the carpet, so to speak, but if more and more public follow suit this will no longer be possible and the dam will break - as the scriptures say they will not know from whence their troubles cometh. For now they are not as heretofore having to deal with easy to infiltrate and controllable organisations and political parties but milliards of individuals and tiny groups. Praise Yahweh! Our King cometh!


Editor

thenewensign@gmail.com

This magazine is for private subscription only and is not in any way connected to The Ensign Message Magazine which is a totally separate entity.

Contents		Page
Answers to Objections About Israel Being Exclusive (6) - Arnold Kennedy		3
The Sacred Names: Yahweh and Yahshua - Pastor Eli James		5
Harold Stough Notes - Hill O Mary Stanes Part 2		11
The Conspiracy - Dr. Oren Fenton Patito		15
Letters & Views		19
Master Or Servant - John Trotter		22
A Beast With A Hand? (Part 3) - Jason Blaha		24
The Genesis Flood - Rich Deem		27
Psychology And Jews - Arnold Leese		31
Onions For Health		32
The American Cancer Society Admits... - Sarah C. Corriher		34
Pyramids In Germany? - Walter Haug		36
Surprising Discovery Following An Excavation In Alsace, France		38

Answers to Objections About Israel Being Exclusive (6) Arnold Kennedy



27 THE PROMISE AND "THY SEED" IN THE NEW TESTAMENT

Acts 3:25 Ye are the children of the prophets, and of the covenant which God made with our fathers, saying unto Abraham, And in

thy seed shall the kindreds of the earth be blessed.

ONLY Israelites are being addressed here! We can find references in Scripture to the families [plural] of Israel. "Kindreds" is *patriai* which all lexicons give as kindred from one ancestor. The Hebrew *mish-pachah* supports 'family' 288 times and it is used of the subdivisions of Israel. The Tribes became national identities but were of one racial group from one ancestor. Israel is still an exclusive race existing as families or nations. It is unto these Jesus was sent.

Acts 3:26 Unto you first God, having raised up his Son Jesus, sent him to bless you, in turning every one of you from his iniquities. In context, "you" still is the Israelites being addressed.

Without continual recourse to the Old Testament origins, it is impossible to rightly interpret passages in the New Testament. Only by going back can we know what *all nations* means and only then find a doctrine that is 100% consistent. Galatians 3:8 can no longer be allowed as an "out" for those preaching universal racial salvation. When we take Scripture as originally written in the Hebrew and Greek, we find that conflicts disappear.

We can understand that an exclusive Israel in the Old Testament remains an exclusive Israel in the New Testament. The promises are ever fulfilled *in us their children* and never in others. They are fulfilled in *brethren* of the same kin.

The blessings of the Patriarchs [as given by Jacob in Genesis 48 and by Moses in Deut 33] for *the last days* still apply separately to each of that same group of peoples, who are being specified. These include the sons of Joseph, Ephraim and Manasseh. In Genesis 49 Jacob gives his prophecy about what will befall each individual Tribe of Israel, in the last days. These are limited, specific and definite. We cannot find prophecy for the application of the blessings given by the patriarchs as being applicable to all other races. This is why *all nations* is commonly taken wrongly today as meaning every race on earth. The statement of Romans 4:11, *a father of all-them that believe* is only in the context of Israel.

For the *last days*, Jacob gave his blessings to his children one by one [Genesis 49]. The blessings were to his seed only. They were not to other seeds. The New Testament is still made only with the House of Israel and the House of Judah [Heb 8:8]. The word *children* in Galatians 3:7 [the Children of Abraham] is *huios* which denotes kinship or physical offspring. [Note: This word is also used of animals, so it cannot refer to spiritual offspring in the way commonly taken!]


How can the Patriarchal blessings apply to all races? If they were all the same, what would be the point of separation? And, if they are for the "last days", why not accept this as a reality, rather than saying that some singular multi-racial church that has nothing to do with these Twelve Tribes is the recipient of these blessings?

As it has been pointed out, translators show what they believe in their translations. For instance, in Galatians 3:8 the words translated *heathen* and *nations* are identical. The translation as *heathen* gives an entirely different connotation to the verse. The nations whom God would justify by belief were not heathen, but were of Israel. The proof of this is that this is the fulfilment of the prophecy made by the Patriarchs. This is confirmed – *by him are ye justified from all things from which ye could not be*

justified by the law of Moses. These justified people must have first been under the Law of Moses, so they could only be Israelites. Most of this book of Galatians is written relating Law and Grace to the one people. The whole argument might be summed up by questioning whether or not they were going to remain under the schoolmaster or whether they were going to believe God as Abraham did. What they were to believe was that Jesus had redeemed Israel and that Jesus was the Son of God.

Ultimately, that which is reserved for Israel, namely redemption, salvation, resurrection to eternal life, belongs only to Israel. It is their inheritance from Abraham, according to the promise made by God *to the fathers* of Israel.

CONCLUSION



We can see that the churches today have a major problem in doctrine. This is simply through wrong teaching that has arisen through failure to base doctrine upon the same basis used by Jesus and the Apostles. The basis must ever be the Law, the Psalms and the Prophets. The Law and the Word of God were given only to Israel among the nations. Because of the misuse of the word *all*, particularly within the New Testament, the presumption is made that the Law of Moses, together with the associated covenant with Israel, was given to every person of every race. In this way, *all have sinned* is taught forgetting the context statement *whatsoever the Law saith, is said to them who are under the Law* [Rom 3:19].

Look at this quotation that is one of many that shows “all” in the reverse situation.

Deut 28:10 *And all the people of the earth shall see that thou art called by the name of the Lord, and they shall be afraid of thee.*

Here *all the people of the earth* does not include Israel! This same situation exists more often the other way around with *all* being Israelites. There is yet one more important impediment preventing people accepting an exclusive Israel.

It is addressed in the writer’s booklet, *That Unfortunate Word “Gentile”*. The unity of the Scriptures is made or broken upon this un-translated Latin-originating word *Gentile* and what that word actually means. If we look at the words translated as *Gentile* in the KJV translation of the Bible and immediately something strange will be seen. From Hebrew, it is translated 374 times as nations, 143 times as heathen, 30 times as *Gentile(s)* and 11 times as people. From Greek it is translated 64 times as nations, 50 times as heathen, 93 times as *Gentiles* and twice as people.

The word “Greeks” in the Greek is the word *Hellen* and is used thirty five times. But our translators have chosen to translate this word (wrongly) as “gentile”, particularly in the Book of Romans. *Ethnos* and *Hellen* are quite different words! Sometimes the justification is to say that the Greeks were not Jews and therefore they must be *Gentiles*. This is not translating; rather it is interpreting Scripture in the translations. There is neither rhyme nor reason for all these various translations and mis-translations, other than to perpetuate a belief!

The commonly accepted meaning of the word “gentile” immediately falls flat from the translation point of view alone. When we add the fact that the word in Hebrew is used also of Israel it falls even flatter! When we show the real meaning from the New Testament, it becomes so flat that it cannot be seen! The Hebrew and Greek words mean “nations” as races and peoples. They mean any group of a common origin, including Israel.

Let us look at some Old Testament Scriptures where the word *Gowj*, *Goi* or *Goyim* are used. If we apply the logic concerning *Gentiles* for these verse, we can see the ridiculous conclusions that could be reached. Remember that *Goi*

and *Ethnos* are used of Israel as well as of other races. *Gen 12:1,2 Now the Lord said unto Abram ... and I will make of thee a great nation. Gen 17:5; a father of many nations have I made thee.*

Did God make a great non-Israel “gentile” nation out of Abraham and did Abraham father many Gentiles? Was the great nation other than Israel? *Gen 25:23 And the Lord said unto her (Rebecca), Two nations are in thy womb Could Rebecca have what would become two non-Israel “gentiles” in her womb? Gen 48:19 and his seed shall become a multitude of nations.*

There is no evidence in Scripture that Ephraim would produce a lot of non-Israelites. *Gen 46:3 And he said, I am God, the God of thy father (Isaac) fear not to go down into Egypt; for I will there make of thee a great nation. Could the sons of Jacob be non-Israel “Gentiles”? Jer 31:36 If those ordinances [the sun and the moon] depart from before me, saith the Lord, then the seed of Israel shall also cease from being a nation before me for ever.*

As the word for “nation” is the same as that translated “Gentile”, we could equally read *the seed of Israel shall not cease from being Gentiles before Me.* We could even say Israel would not cease from being heathen! This is absurd!

When we consider the word *ethnos*, which is sometimes translated “gentiles” in the New Testament, we have another block of translations among which we could make transpositions. The consequences are equally absurd!

Luke 7:5 For he loved our nation, and has built for us a synagogue.

Would that section of Jewry be pleased if the Centurion had built a synagogue for the so called gentiles or the heathen? “Nation” is the word *ethnos*. *Luke 23:2 We found this fellow perverting the nation,* Would “The Jews” care so much if Jesus were perverting the “Gentiles”? *John 11:48 the Romans shall come and take away both our place and nation.* For the Romans to come to Judea and take away “our” gentiles gets a little silly. *John 11:49,50 Ye know nothing at all, nor consider that it is expedient for us, that one man should die for the people, and that the whole nation perish not.*

So we can see how transliterating one word is the major cause of objections to the exclusiveness of Israel as consistently presented through Scripture.

The End OS14834

The Sacred Names: Yahweh and Yahshua

by

Pastor Eli James



WITHIN the Christian Identity (CI) Movement, there is an ongoing debate about whether we should use the original Hebrew names for our God (Yahweh) and

also for our Saviour and King, Yahshua, also known as Jesus Christ. The two sides of this issue are summarized as follows:

1.) The English names are good enough and we don't need to resort to the Hebrew or Aramaic languages in order to address our Divine Sovereign.

2.) The original Hebrew names convey meanings which are lost in the English translation.

I am an advocate of the latter position; and I am going to do my best to give all the reasons why I advocate the use of the Divine Hebrew appellations, Yahweh' and Yahshua.'

Let it be known that I have no objection to the use of the English name for our Messiah, Jesus Christ. We have all grown up using this name and there is a certain reverence evoked when this name is spoken, so I do not wish to detract from this in any way. Also, there is a particular singularity to the name, Jesus Christ, which is shared by no other human being, past or present. There is no mistaking whom you are referring when you invoke this name.

known as the tetragrammaton, YHWH. This Commandment is telling us not to use 'Yahweh' in vain.

Israel's God has a name; and that name is YAHWEH. The titles, 'Lord' and 'God' are not His Name. Consequently, it has not even been possible to take His name in vain because we have forgotten it. In fact, when Yahweh divorced the House of Israel and sent us into the wilderness for our purification, one of the main reasons was that we were using His name, Yahweh, in vain. By causing us to forget His name, we were no longer able to violate this commandment. As we come into remembrance as to who we are and what our purpose is, the time has come for us to reclaim our heritage and start using our God's name again, with sincerity and purpose.



And Moses said unto God, Behold, when I come unto the children of Israel, and shall say unto them, The God of your fathers hath sent me unto you; and they shall say to me, What is his name?

What shall I say unto them? And God said unto Moses, I AM THAT I AM: and he said, Thus shalt thou say unto the children of Israel, I AM hath sent me unto you. And God said moreover unto Moses, Thus shalt thou say unto the children of Israel, Yahweh God of your fathers, the God of Abraham, the God of Isaac, and the God of Jacob, hath sent me unto you: this is my name forever and this is my memorial unto all generations. Ex. 3:13-15.

The Bible repeatedly admonishes us to revere God's name in prayer, praise and song; but, because of our punitive divorcement from Him, we haven't done this for centuries. Despite our forgetfulness, His name is forever and it is to be a memorial unto all Israel.

So, why haven't we been using His name? One answer is provided by Strong's Concordance itself, although unintentionally. The English

rendition, the LORD, from the Hebrew Yahweh is listed as word #3068. Strong, obviously influenced by rabbinical distortions of the Hebrew Scriptures, incorrectly uses the traditional Jewish spelling and pronunciation of Jehovah in this entry.

Jehovah is a medieval rabbinical concoction which is typically unbiblical. Virtually all scholars agree that the correct pronunciation is Yahweh, with the first syllable pronounced like the German Ya and the second syllable pronounced like the German geh as in *Gehen Sie heim*. This German eh sound is longer than the English short eh as in *Ed* but shorter than the English ay sound, as in *way*. Phonetically, it is almost directly in between. And, in Hebrew, the W is pronounced like a V (Yahveh). Historically, the letter W did not enter into our language until around 1,000 AD. Before then, we only had the vowel U and the consonant V. So, phonetically, the name should be pronounced YAH-VEY, although the anglicized pronunciation "YAH-WAY" has become very common among CI people.


Since we had forgotten we are Israel, we also had forgotten to use His name when praying to Him. God wants us to address Him by His name, Yahweh, which is the specific name that He had instructed Moses that we, His people, were supposed to use. Yahweh means Creator, or, more primordially, 'I am becoming', or 'I am that I am' ('I am that which I choose to be'), or even more simply, 'I am.' Blessed is the people that know the joyful sound: they shall walk, O Yahweh, in the light of thy countenance. In thy name shall they rejoice all the day: and in thy righteousness shall they be exalted. Ps. 89:15,16. Unto thee, O God, do we give thanks, unto thee do we give thanks: for that thy name is near thy wondrous works declare. Psalm 75:1.

Help us, O God of our salvation, for the glory of thy name: and deliver us, and purge away our sins, for thy name's sake. Ps. 79:9.

When we address God by His name, Yahweh, we are acknowledging His self-creating Being. His name means causation, creativity, will, and power. He is the One who creates everything and allows everything else to be created because of Him. This awesome power is reflected in His name and that's why we are commanded to both use it properly and not take it in vain.

In Judah God is known: his name is great in Israel. Psalm 76:1.

A major feature of the Restoration of Israel in the latter days is this rediscovery of His Name by His remnant people, Jacob-Israel. Revelation 22:4 tells us this: "And they shall see his face; and his name shall be in their foreheads." We are Yah's people and Yah is our God. He has promised us that when we address Him by His Name, Yahweh (or, "Yah," for short), He will listen to us. God' is a title, not a name. A title denotes a position or office. A name denotes a particular individual.



In addition to its meaning as "Creator," Yahweh' is also an affirmation of life. In the Germanic languages, Yah' means yes'. Yahweh is the "ever-living One." We accept life with all of its challenges and responsibilities because life is His blessing given to us. The subject of our God's name is a very important one. It is the subject of many philological articles and sermons.

One of the main differences between Judaism and Biblical Christianity is the use of our God's name.

There is a common misunderstanding by non-Identity Whites that the use of Yahweh' is a Jewish tradition and that the use of Yahweh' insults Christianity or the White race. This idea is completely false and is based on the erroneous assumption that the Jews are Hebrews. The Jews were never Hebrews. The Hebrews, by definition, are racially pure Adamites descended from the patriarch, Eber. The Jews have always been a mixed multitude of mamzers (racially

mixed mongrels) who possess only partial Adamite/Hebrew blood.

The first portion of Adamic blood they inherited came through Cain, who was a half-breed Adamite/Nephilite. These early Jews were known as Cainites and later became known as Canaanites because they settled in the land of Canaan.

The second infusion of Adamite blood into the Jewish people came from Jacob's brother Esau, who was a race traitor. The Bible tells us that "Esau despised his birthright [genetic heritage]." Jacob and Esau were twins, but when Esau refused to reproduce after his own kind, his parents disowned him, and more importantly, Yahweh Himself disowned him. After being disowned, his name was changed to Edom. Having married into the Canaanite people, his Canaanite descendants became known as Edomites.

The third major infusion of Adamite blood into the Jewish people came as a result of an act by King John Hyrcanus, who merged the Edomite nation of Idumea with the Judahite nation of Judah around 150 B.C. Despite repeated infusions of Adamic blood into the Jewish people, they cannot claim to be Adamites, Israelites, Judahites, Hebrews, or even Semites, because all of those designations, by biblical definition, are reserved exclusively for pure-blooded Adamites.

This makes the Jews mixed-race stepsons at best and outright impostors at worst. Irregardless, the Jews were not, are not, and can never be the heirs of the Kingdom because Yahweh does not permit race-mixing in His people. And as long as the Jews continue to impersonate Israel and pretend to be us, they hope and fantasize that they shall steal our inheritance by this grandiose deception. In truth, their main reason for being is to deceive True Israel. By impersonating us, they hope to deprive us of the promised Kingdom, at which time Jesus Christ will return to claim True Israel as His Bride. At the same time, He will judge the Jews, and those who work for or with them, for their iniquity. Even though the rabbis of Judaism love to fool gullible Christians with the slogan, Jesus was a Jew, they know full well that Jesus was a racial Judahite, and not a Jew. Jesus was not a mixed breed

individual. He was a 100% Judahite. “I am the root and the offspring of David,” -- Rev. 22:16. As part of the deception of True Israel, and contrary to the teachings of the Bible, Judaism forbids the use of God’s name, Yahweh. Christians have to ask: “Why would God’s purported ‘chosen people’ disobey their own God by refusing to use His name at all? Well, since the Jews aren’t God’s people, it is easy to understand why they don’t use His name.



The rabbis of Judaism very cleverly exploit the fact that we have forgotten His Name and claim that His Name is TOO HOLY TO BE PRONOUNCED – by anyone! In this way, they hope to prevent us from re-establishing our relationship with Him!

As part of Yahweh’s Writ of Divorcement, the House of Israel was exiled away from Palestine in 745 B.C. (See Isa. 50:1, with elaboration in Hosea, Chapters 1-3.) This period of exile was prophesied to last 7 “times.” (Lev. 26:18.) This is known to Bible scholars as the “seven times punishment.” In prophetic reckoning, a “time” is equal to 360 years. $7 * 360 = 2520$. 2520 years after 745 B.C. brings us to 1776 AD, the year in which America, a White Christian nation based on biblical law, was born. The divorce period ended in 1776, and we, True Israel, remembering who we are, are also beginning to remember our God, Yahweh. The International Jew has been trying to destroy America ever since it was created by Yahweh, but he will not succeed. The Book of Revelation (Chapter 12) makes it very clear that the Bride of Christ will be composed of the “saints” of the Twelve Tribes of Israel -- and no one else.

The Jews, by forbidding the use of His Name, hope to prolong our national amnesia which has now fulfilled its prophetic purpose. The Anti-Christ rabbis have no choice but to continue their

fraud and mockery in perpetuity. Their only hope of avoiding the Judgment Day is to try to prevent the Wedding Feast of the Lamb, the event at which Yahshua will claim His Bride. If they can prevent us from rediscovering our Identity and prevent us from praying to our God, Yahweh, they can delay both our salvation and their death sentence. Their religion, Judaism, has one main purpose: to deceive True Israel and thereby separate us from our Father, Yahweh. The longer they can keep us apart, the more they can delay our true inheritance of everlasting life in His Kingdom as Yahweh’s chosen people.

‘YAHWEH’ or ‘BAAL’?


Most translations of the Bible contain the expression the LORD in place of the name Yahweh’. But Lord’ in English is the same as Baal’ in Hebrew! Ask yourself: “Why would Yahweh want us to address Him as Baal’ (a pagan deity) when He condemns Baal worship?” Even more to the point: why would the translators want to use “Lord” knowing that it means “Baal”? Obviously, Yahweh does not want us to do so. The explanation for this curious phenomenon lies in the fact that the translators of the King James Bible had unquestioningly adopted the Jewish tradition of replacing Yahweh’ with “the Lord” wherever it occurred in the Old Testament.

What is the Biblical justification for doing this? There is none!

But there are two reasons why Christians have accepted this tradition. The first is the false assumption that the rabbis are the authorities on this subject. As all CI people know, Judaism is NOT the religion of the Old Testament. Their holy book is the Talmud, which often changes and even contradicts the Torah of the Old Testament. For the rabbi, it overrules the Old Testament. The two religions are so diametrically opposed that it would not be erroneous to say that whatever the Torah forbids, the Talmud permits. For this development, we can directly blame our ancestor, John Hyrcanus, for had he not merged Idumea with Judah, the mixed-race sect known as the Pharisees could not have emerged over the hereditary Levitical (i.e. Israelite) priesthood. It was these Pharisees who invented the religion known today as Judaism.

The second reason can only be a sinister motive by the rabbis who want to keep True Israel from praying to their God in an effective way. If we don't know how to use our own God's name, we cannot expect Him to respond to us in a personal manner. It's like the difference between saying Hey, you! versus Dear John B or the difference between wishing upon a star and making a personal appeal to your Creator. To which do you think Yahweh is more likely to respond? What's your name? Are you more likely to respond to someone who uses your name or to someone who calls out Hey, you, Baal!? Of course, humility, faith and patience have a lot to do with effective prayer as well.

What does the Bible say about using His name?



I will publish the name of Yahweh: ascribe ye greatness unto our God. Deut. 32:3.

I will praise thy name, O Yahweh, for it is good. Ps. 54:6.

The Bible is full of admonitions for us to use His name and praise His name, especially in the book of Psalms. The Book of Psalms is a book of prayers in which almost every prayer is dedicated to His name and to the use and praise of His name. This should be a lesson for all Israelites, especially when it comes to answering the question, Why doesn't God answer my prayers? When you start addressing Him by His name, Yahweh, then you will start getting answers. But when asking for personal help, be sure to make a plea for all of True Israel and for all of your friends and relatives, because selfish prayer is not what Christianity is about. We pray for others and we do what we can to build the Kingdom of God here on earth. Remember what

Jesus said: Seek ye first the kingdom of God and his righteousness; and all these things shall be added unto you.

Pray first for instruction and enlightenment, and the same for others; and only thereafter for supplication. Our mutual seeking after righteousness will establish the Kingdom. Only if we are desperately ill should we pray more for ourselves; and then it is good to have other Israelites pray with you. Even here prayer should be done in a spirit of repentance and devotion to the Kingdom.

To underscore this topic about God's name, I would like to quote from the Preface of the Holy Bible, Revised Standard Version, 1972:

A major departure for the practice of the American Standard Version is the rendering of the Divine Name, the Tetragrammaton. The American Standard Version used the term Jehovah; the King James Version had employed this in four places, but everywhere else, except in three cases where it was employed as part of a proper name, used the English word LORD (or in certain cases GOD) printed in capitals. The present revision returns to the procedure of the King James Version, which follows the precedent of the ancient Greek and Latin translators and the long established practice in the reading of the Hebrew scriptures in the synagogue.

Now, dear Christian, should we follow Jewish tradition just because the Jews do it or should we follow what the Bible tells us to do? Your answer may determine which side you're on at the Judgment Day.

The RSV continues:

While it is almost if not quite certain that the Name was originally pronounced Yahweh, this pronunciation was not indicated when the Masoretes [the Jewish scribes] added vowel signs to the consonantal Hebrew text. To the four consonants YHWH of the Name, which had come to be regarded as too sacred to be pronounced [without any Biblical authority!], they attached vowel signs indicating that in its place should be read the Hebrew word Adonai meaning Lord (or Elohim meaning God). The ancient Greek translators substituted the word Kyrios (Lord) for the Name. The Vulgate

likewise used the Latin word Dominus. The form Jehovah is of late medieval origin; it is a combination of the consonants of the Divine Name and the vowels attached to it by the Masoretes but belonging to an entirely different word. The sound of Y is represented by J and the sound of W by V, as in Latin. For two reasons the Committee has returned to the more familiar usage of the King James Version: (1) the word Jehovah does not accurately represent any form of the Name ever used in Hebrew; and (2) the use of any proper name for the one and only god, as though there were other gods from whom He had to be distinguished, was discontinued in Judaism before the Christian era and is entirely inappropriate for the universal faith of the Christian Church. pages 3 and 4 of the Preface (no printed pagination).

In other writings, I have discussed the merits of the Masoretic Text versus the Greek Septuagint and I have become convinced (as have many other scholars of numerous denominations) that the Septuagint is the more accurate text. The Septuagint is a direct translation from the Hebrew into the Greek by JUDAHITE scribes. The Jews, as the mixed-breed offspring of

Idumeans and Judahites, had absolutely nothing to do with the writing of the Septuagint. The fact is that the Septuagint is Israelite/Judahite literature. The Masoretic text is Jewish, not Israelite, literature.

The authenticity of the Septuagint was proved by the discovery of certain books of the Old Testament in the Dead Sea Scrolls. These Dead Sea Scroll versions proved to be older than either the Masoretic or any of the existing Septuagint texts. The Septuagint almost always adheres more closely to the Dead Sea Scrolls than does the Masoretic heresy, thus verifying that the rabbis had made unauthorized changes to the original text. By "unauthorized," I mean the command of Moses that the Hebrew Scriptures are not to be tampered with by anyone. This command is found at Deuteronomy 4:1 & 2. As it stands, the entire Talmud, since it consists entirely of rabbinical additions and changes to the Torah, is in violation of this Mosaic command.

To Be Continued



Harold Stough Notes The Hill O Mary Stanes Part Two

WHAT does this mean in layman's terms? Since the arrival of John does John the Beloved now hold supreme Jurisdiction over us before we are given the opportunity to enter God's House?

The Nile holds the secrets to the original Pi time, in English Nil(e) means zero, Arabic has the same degree of thesis in there view An Nil means Nile. Sage of the rings and theatre of extravagant metaphorical duality J.R.R. Tolkien's Middle-earth legendarium has tapped into Ancient Egyptian tau knowledge. Ieru was a name given by the Egyptians for the Nile, Eru Ilúvatar is a fictional character in J.R.R. Tolkien's Lord of the Rings. He is introduced in the Silmarillion as the creator of all known existence (Eä); he is therefore analogous to God. In Tolkien's invented language of Elvish, Eru means "The

One", or "He that is Alone" and Ilúvatar signifies "Father of All" which equates to Hi-pī. A Copt is a Christian descendant of the ancient Egyptians in there language Coptic, the Nile takes presidential satus bye nameing it Pi-aro or Phiaro, the love of bubbles-zero, from here you may reconise the word Pharaoh which is a title used to describe the ancient Egyptian rulers. This title is claimed to originate from the word "pr-aa" which means "great house" and describes the royal palace, house of God. Amharic transliterated 'Abbai, but pronounced Abbai we know from the parable tautology scriptures that when Jesus was on the tau he called out to Abba-Ea his father, clues that hide a forensic pi cycle measure that bridges water and time, north-flowing Nile river in North Africa, is generally regarded as the longest river in the world,

Piye was the first of the so-called black pharaohs. The incognito cult of the Black Madonna often pictured with the Golden circle aura around her head, a firm favourite of the French especially so, around the time of the Knight Templars, the Black Mary takes on a new 22/7 intelligible understanding if we view Jesus as 12 o'clock Pi the white light, and Mary the T-Ea Time 6 o'clock black light, both part of the 2 pi circle circumference of the whole-One.



More light is bestowed on us if we consider fellow Lyon Glen patriot Pontius Pi-late, whom is best known as the judge at Jesus' trial and the man whom "washed his hands" of all blame during the

crucifixion of Jesus,

John 18:36-38 "As it is My Kingdom does not have its origin here." You are a King then?" Pilate asked. "You say that I'am a King," Jesus replied "I was born for this and I have come into the world for this; to testify to the Truth. Every one is of the Truth listens to My Voice." What is Truth? said Pi-late.

John 8:32 "You will know the Truth, and the Truth shall make you free."

Dod is auld Scot's title for a rounded Hill top, in biblical Hebrew Dod means beloved, which can be either innocent platonic or sexual. Dod is used in the passionate evocative sense in the reciprocal feast of the great royal Lovers story in the bible's Song of Songs, many aspects of this emotional romping romance have been recycled for apparel analogy future use. The plural of dodim is the act of consenting lovers. The name David is an archaic form of dod = beloved, Jesus and his Wife where in the main, to the vast majority of us, the hidden science receptacles, there scholarly denomination centre's on the feelings of the heart, which leads to the fiery conceptual metaphor of King David's Divine house of the Lord, the "Temple of Love." Song of Songs 6:3

"I am my love's and my love is mine, he feeds among the lilies."

Embracing the Intimate physical SoS shared sensations gift of lovers flesh, lilies being the necessary Euphemism that resembles the interwoven afforest purity of the water fountain. The lily of the Nile is called Richardia, from here we get the alternate name of Richard, Dick! Both the Scot's and French Royal Kingdoms convey the Christian glory of the wedded bliss, revealed to all under the guise of the fleur-de-ley. The Lovely Sweet fragrant lily Amaryllidaceae is a herbaceous, perennial it's common name is A-Mary-llis!

1 Kings 8:12/13. Then Solomon said:

The Lord said that He would dwell in thick darkness, but i have indeed built an exalted Temple for You, a place for Your dwelling forever.

Professor Thom identified a standard unit of measurement found common in stone circles as 2.722 feet - a measurement he termed the "megalithic yard" which was the authentic token of God's measurement a gift to man that equates the number 2.pi symbol with the actual length of 2.722. From here we can find the genuine Lord and Lady of the Pi-Pie golden rings of time, a radian is a unit of circular measure, the angle subtended at the centre of a circle by an arc equal to the radius, approx 57.3 degrees.

It follows that the magnitude in radians of one complete revolution (360 degrees) is the length of the entire circumference divided by the radius, or $2\pi r / r$, or 2π . Thus 2π radians is equal to 360 degrees, meaning that one radian is equal to $180/\pi$ degrees. The Angle-Anglican church takes it's name from the Pi measurement of time, in the bible the man with the measuring rod-line marked out divine angles of measured distances, creating the Hall of Yards as found in Ezekiel.

The bible informs us of He-rod's census, where Jesus and John the Baptist escaped with the help of their families to Egypt. The Grande Pyramid in Egypt is regarded as a measurement of Earth's principal dimensions and workings its complex design is a number codex that many experts claim holds the secrets of time.

The Seventh Seal Revelations 8:3

In the absence of paper with which to record historical information, the ancient Scots had a

master location Map of Scotland at the Hill 'o many Stones, utilised to verify Clan identity, affinity and general area. With the information interwoven into the fabric of the kilt, friend or foe could instantly recognise the particular place where a visitor hailed from. Small checks from the North and larger from the South. Colour would then be added to represent status and historical connections. Ancient Greece employed a similar plaid register map showing details of ownership and land boundaries. It was designed for fiscal and taxation purposes and was known as a "Cadaster".



So who were these pre-druidic cartographic, tartan map-makers? Robert the Bruce gives us a positive insight of where to look in his Declaration of Arbroath Independence speech. "They journeyed from Greater Scythia by way of the

Tyrrhenian Sea and the Pillars of Hercules". The Pillars of Hercules was the phrase that was applied in Antiquity to the promontories that flank the entrance to the Strait of Gibraltar. The northern Pillar is the Rock of Gibraltar in the British overseas territory of Gibraltar, located at the tip of the Iberian peninsula.

The Tartessians were Phoenician-Hittite sea traders, who established the Phoenician city of Tartessos, located beyond the Pillars of Hercules. Cadiz, in Southern Spain, was used as a staging port for Tartessos, as the Phoenician-Hittites sailed to the Tin Island of Cornwall (Great Britain), Cadiz was settled around 1200 B.C. The trade in tin was very lucrative, it was used for Bronze weapons and utensils. The Spanish authorities have disputed ownership of the Gibraltar Rock for hundreds of years, but historical evidence supports the ownership of this significant Phoenician-Hittite anchor platform by their distant descendants. Inherent traits were later to overshadow the obscure work of Judeo-Roman tin trader, Joseph of Arimathea, who was the trusted broker for the merchant navy that engaged in trade with the Cornish tin merchants, mutual kin to both himself and his nephew, Jesus.

Tartessos gets its name from the Hittite sea port of Tarsus in the south of Turkey, cloth and silk was exported around Europe from this busy port. Tarsus-Tartessos is the Phoenician-Hittite root word for "tartan." Ancient Turkey has a number of cities whose names are suffixed with the ending "sos" including, Ephesos, Telmessos and Sagalassos. The suffix itself comes from God's line of Kings called The Hyksos, also called the Egyptian Pharaoh Shepherd Kings. Their distinctive clothes were patterned with small black and white tartan checks. The Good Shepherd Jesus' kin were descended from the Shepherd Kings via the Phoenician-Hittites. Tarsus is the birth place of Saint Paul whose knowledge of his proud roots would have been a major personal emotional impetus to spread the Christian story amongst others. Tartessos and Tarsus have both been suggested as possible locations for the biblical, Tarshish, where the prophet Jonah wanted to flee. A third possible location is the Treshnish Isles, off the West Coast of Mull, whose name is the most compatible of the three. The rocks around this area are claimed by expert geologists to be the origins of Earth (oldest on the planet). Careful thought needs to be given when we discover the Hebrews use of the word Tishrei (or Tishri) means, "To begin", which allies with the Greek word Alpha and bible alternative Genesis, the home of the altar and birth place of civilisation.

The legendary Grail authors present an alluring story of a place where the Fisher Kings and Queens return to procreate, a human adaptation of that King of Fish, the salmon's, reproductive adventures. The moral of the migratory fish tale is that there is an inborn navigational system implanted within our brains. The Salmon instinctively knows in which tributary of the river it was born-hatched, this is passed on genetically. The story intuitively leads us on to Barry Dunford's revealing claims that this is a possible logical location for where Jesus and Mary Magdalene came to start their own family on the Holy island of Iona. The original rocks of the Creator hold the consistent exhilaration of the pulse and heartbeat of Mother Earth, that acts like a reviving stimulant, in turn conveying an optimistic spiritedness to the mind, body and soul. The ultimate retroactive, restorative health farm retreat.

Drawn to the isolated holy sanctuary, fleeing from their vindictive oppressors, this was no

donkey blindfolded spontaneous tail on a map, this royal voyage was meticulously planned and would have mirrored a modern day Genes Reunited random door step reunion. With a Family tree map preserved underneath their cloaks, verifying their mutual Phoenician-Hittite blood relations.




Hill 'o many stanes in Cattiness (Caithness) has a number of very profound secrets attached to its antiquity. Sitting on the Roseline, the World's Original Line Indicator, or more precisely, its ancient acronymic title, "OLI", was implemented to distract and confuse remorseless, invading forces and to help preserve this sacrilegious Scottish site of indisputable importance from desecration.

The resilient Scottish Clan Oliphant successively and successfully kept the strand of history unbroken, enduring periods of pious sacrifice in the hands of their opposers in order to keep their sacred stone marker clock and secret great time projection calendar intact, so that future generations could calculate and decipher the impending date when the time line date, Omega is reunited with Alpha.

The Clan (OLI) Oliphant is made up of two elements. OLI is a acronym, it is an Abbreviated, Coded Rendition Of Name Yielding Meaning - the meaning being "Original Line Indicators". Phant:- phant(om), an immaterial form, illusive and transparent. This relates to the Roseline energy that runs through the stones. The word "pharmacy" is of the same derivation as "phant". Our ancestral shaman-doctors could communicate with spirits along these sacred leyline conjunctions. Compassionate, merciful gifts of magical herb and plant remedies were conjured up to treat the sick. The Oliphant Clan

were hereditary guardians and inception architect keepers to the land that surrounded this megalithic observatory area in and around Hill 'o many stanes in North East Caithness (Cattiness). The stone markers are set in rows of 22 to indicate a lunar map of eclipse projection, historically the Oliphant clan were the nation's time keepers. They had accurately mastered the astrological projections of the stars and planets so their calendar would be as precise as the well documented Mayan calendar.



The Oliphant clan kept their secrets of the realm well hidden but one major clue to their astronomical expertise can be found on their clan badge and, contrary to alternative beliefs, no, it is not an elephant Now!

On the Scottish western Isle of Mull, at Dervaig, is located Kilmore Church (Church of Mary). In the Church is a striking stained glass window which appears to portray Jesus holding hands with a pregnant woman. The text beneath this window identifies the woman as Mary of Bethany, also known as Mary Magdalene. This stained glass window, dating from 1906, antedates by many years the more recent genre of books which suggests there was a holy bloodline. **To be continued**

Steven Books
League Enterprises
27. Old Gloucester Street
London
WC1N 3XX

For books by identity authors –
Kenneth McKilliam, Ria Splinter
and Richard Porter plus many
other subjects and difficult to
obtain books.

<http://www.stevenbooks.co.uk/category/341/Religion>

The Conspiracy

By Dr. Oren Fenton Potito



WORLD WAR I was not, as generally believed, the result of only the natural interplay of the ambitions of the Great Powers and of growing nationalism. Generalities and abstractions are not

sufficient to cause wars; wars are brought on by the actions of men. In both world wars, these actions were deliberately calculated to bring on war with all its misery and suffering. The purpose of those who brought on the First World War was two-fold: first, the war by its own momentum would open huge cracks in the foundation of Western Civilization by destroying the soundest values and most stable institutions in our civilization. Second, the peace conferences following the war would be used to intensify the upheaval and to provide the foundation of a world-wide empire, to be built first on the ruins of all European empires and of the Christian civilization they had spread over the entire globe, and later on the ruins of the United States. Who were these men, and what was their goal?

They were and are a tightly knit clique of jewish conspirators who have been and are made up of, and recruited from, the very top financial, economic, educational and political levels of each country in which they operate. The ultimate goal of this jewish conspiracy is the absolute domination of the entire world to be accomplished by the destruction of civilization through the merging of all nationalities and races into one people under one government; the abolition of all private property; the destruction of all religion except judaism; and the abrogation of all morality. The immediate goal of each generation of these yiddish conspirators was the reward power, wealth and fame, which they received by advancing the final goal. The makeup and purpose of the conspiracy were explicitly defined by its founder, a jew, Adam Weishaupt, and embodied in his organization, the Order of Illuminati, founded May 1, 1776. The Illuminati was strong enough by 1789 to play a major role in planning and precipitating

the French Revolution, and members of the Order held power in France for about six years openly. After 1795 the Illuminati went completely underground, and the secret of their existence became the fundamental law of the Order.

But despite the strict secrecy the conspiracy maintained, we do have a considerable amount of information about its activities between 1795 and 1917 when its principle aim, Communism erupted in Russia. A chief agent of the Illuminati, Joseph Fouche, a French jew, was responsible for placing a member of the Order, Jean Baptiste Bernadotte, a proselyte, on the throne of Sweden. It was an agency or ally of the conspiracy, the League of Just Men, which hired Karl Marx, a Rabbi's son, whose real name was Moses Mordecai Levy, to write the Communist Manifesto which is based on the jewish Holy Book, the Talmud. This group, which was renamed the League of Communists, was a major factor in bringing about uprisings throughout Europe in 1848.

The conspiracy played a more important role in organizing the Italian Revolution, directed by the jew who infiltrated and mislead Masonry, Albert Pike, and also, the Juarezista Revolution in Mexico in the 1860's. Both the assassination of Czar Alexander II and the Haymarket Riot in Chicago were the work of related agencies of the conspiracy. In fact, throughout the last half of the Nineteenth Century, the jewish Order of Illuminati used Communists, socialists, anarchists, and numerous other groups and subsidiaries to advance its goals and solidify its position in nearly every nation.

By 1914 the jews had sufficient power and influence to precipitate World War I. At this point it was not necessary for the Order to be able to control every action in the war. The war itself, by its very nature, served many purposes of the jews. They only needed to direct it to the desired conclusion, which they had the ability to do; and when this occurred with the armistice, they held the control of the peace conferences. The war had so weakened Russia that the jew Trotsky, whose real name was Bronstein, and a band of vicious jewish criminals, financed and

directed by their yiddish brethren throughout Europe and in the United States, were able to seize power replacing the truly progressive government of Nicholas with reactionary jewish Bolshevism. The peace conference opened the way for the communization of Europe, and the League of Nations, to which it gave birth, was meant to further the goals of the conspiracy through the activities of its clique of "illuminated" or Fabian diplomats. Although the refusal of America to join the League ruined its planned effectiveness, the League still served many useful purposes, not the least being as a forerunner of the United Nations.



World War II should have been a war and crusade against the jew communist beast, but it became a struggle between Christian countries. Hitler himself never wanted a war in the West and his great goal, as events demonstrate, was the destruction of the Soviet Union. Communism was saved and made victorious in great part because of the conspiracy's diplomatic successes in 1936. After the assassination in 1934 of King Alexander of Yugoslavia, the greatest obstacle to the Barthou-Titulescu-Benes plan to encircle Germany, the balance of power between the anti-communists and the pro-communists was thrown to the Left.

The Western anti-Communist leaders had supported a quadripartite pact proposed by Mussolini which would have been a renewal of the Pact of Locarno and which was, in reality, meant for the common defense of Europe against Soviet Russia. The pact included the Western allies of World War I and Germany, and it would have established an anti-Communist core in Europe. But although all parties signed it, the treaty never came into force because it was not ratified by the jew controlled French Parliament.

It was quite another arrangement which finally triumphed the jewish inspired pact of military alliance between France, Czecho-Slovakia and Soviet Russia ratified in 1936 and the major cause of the disaster to come. It introduced Russia into the mainstream of Western diplomacy, perfected the encirclement of Germany, and instead of protecting Europe from the Soviets, it protected the Soviets from Christian Germany's growing military might.

But the pact with Soviet Russia was going to be of absolutely no benefit to France or Czecho-Slovakia, and would probably never have been ratified by the French Parliament, unless a route could be found to enable the Red Army to join in any conflict that might arise between France or Czecho-Slovakia with Germany. The Christian leaders of Poland and the Baltic states stubbornly refused to permit such passage under any pretext.

The effects of the Franco-Soviet and Czecho-Soviet treaties were immediate. Germany was surrounded and desperately needed to adjust the balance of power, and Germany needed to protect itself on its western and southern borders. Therefore Germany reoccupied the Rhineland, on the basis that the Franco-Soviet alliance invalidated the Pact of Locarno. Germany proclaimed the Anschluss with Austria to the general enthusiasm of the population, and when submitted for approval to the people of Greater Germany, it was approved by 99.08% of the voters. Germany demanded the return to Greater Germany of the three and a half million Germans, which the Versailles Treaty had incorporated, after the Austrian Empire was dismembered, into Czecho-Slovakia.

Contrary to popular belief, sanity won out for a moment at Munich, and after years of harassment and treachery on the part of the jewish government in Prague, what was probably the best possible arrangement was agreed to by the Big Powers. But all was for nought; the jews pulling the strings soon had the very men who saved Europe in 1938 destroy it in 1939. Germany had been maneuvered into a trap, which would be sprung by the hopeless Polish problem. The Polish Crisis was hopeless not because the disagreement between Germany and Poland was so great, the issue involved was chiefly a matter of border adjustments, or because of an ancient national enmity for

Germany and Poland had in recent years enjoyed excellent relations. The problem was made hopeless by the intervention of jewish controlled diplomats, who constantly advised and told the Polish not to negotiate and by Poles such as Marshal Smigly-Rydz, who threw their country into a war she could not possibly win. Germany was forced into a war against the West because the leaders of Western Europe made all other courses of action impossible. Germany did not sign the non-aggression pact with Soviet Russia in order to be free to conquer the West. The pact was an EXPEDIENT and NOTHING MORE!

Its purpose was to secure Germany's rear position in order to be free to destroy jewish communism in Russia when the time came. Hitler abhorred the idea of a two front war. It is ironic, and sad, that he had to conquer the West in order to fight the communist East. When war came, England and France did nothing to help Poland. At no moment were the solicitudes shown by the Western Powers and the vain guarantees they offered Poland motivated by any real intention to save her integrity and her independence. On the contrary, as far as the jews were concerned, Poland was sacrificed even before the beginning of the armed conflict.

With the fall of Poland, France was released from any obligation to continue the war, which she had not even fought, and she could have reached a satisfactory settlement with Germany. But the same leaders who had pushed Poland over the brink now threw their own nation into defeat. The onslaught came on May 10, 1940 and by June 14, 1940 the war for France was over. Now Hitler began to prepare his war against Russia; he refused to first eliminate a fellow Saxon nation as England was, and did not consider another member nation of the House of Israel, the United States a threat. (Germany represents the tribe of Judah).

When the Germans invaded Russia, they could have wiped communism off the map. That they failed was due to jewish control of the governments of the West, and also, to the jewish agents hidden high in their own government, but by far the major factor causing Germany's defeat was the listening to Satanic jews by us here in the United States and sticking our noses into a fight which was none of our business! If we had not sent our troops and supplies to win the war for the communists, Hitler would have won and

destroyed communism in the 1940's and our boys would not be dying all over the world today to please the JEWS!

The final ground work for the destruction of Germany and the victory (temporary) of judaism was laid between the summer of 1940 and the close of 1941. At this time the German General on the Eastern front was a communist agent. Instead of welcoming the millions of anti-communist Russians who were surrendering and offering to help the Germans destroy communism, he had them taken prisoner. Unknown to Hitler, these actions were against his orders. The General's name was von Paulis. He was a JEW! How did his jewishness escape being detected by the Nazis?

Hitler made a very great mistake in believing the jews to be a race, and because of this he thought all he had to do was have the racial background of a person checked for a number of generations and if no jews were found in the family tree, the person was cleared. In this way many jews escaped detection and some worked their way into important positions, unknown to Hitler. The Bible says: "As a man thinks, so is he!" The jews are NOT A RACE!



There are black jews, yellow jews, white jews, etc. The jews are a religion and must be detected by their beliefs and actions as well as their racial background. This mistake was a big factor in causing the defeat of Germany. Another example was Admiral Canaris, head of the German Intelligence Service (above) His real name was Meyerbeer. HE WAS A JEW! As important as all these points are, Germany would still have won the war against communism but for one thing The United States came into the war and won it for the communists. We have been paying for that mistake ever since! When the war started Stalin had some 12 million men and he massed at least four and a half million in the western frontier area, more than a million and a half men than made up the German attack forces, but the German military as usual, was far superior, as they were thousands of years ago

when they led the armies of Israel against Jericho with Joshua as their commander. (The Germans are the descendants of the Tribe of Judah). Because of this fact the communists fled in every direction. Many Russian units surrendered in order to join with Hitler. It was only after the Wehrmacht was forced to refuse help from these Russians and imprisoned them on orders from von Paulis that they stopped trying to help Hitler and began fighting to their last breath. Also the Kremlin could depend on its hidden jewish friends in Germany for information. The flow of intelligence data from various sources continued throughout the war. Soviet agent Alexander Rado, in Switzerland was able to advise Moscow of decisions of the German High Command within forty-eight hours after they were made. The jewish source was hidden on the German General Staff.



In this light the statements of Hitler to the effect that the war was being lost by treason do not sound like the ravings of a "drug eater". He became aware that he was being lied to. For in his charges of treason, Hitler was correct: Germany's war against Russia was not lost at Stalingrad by his troops, it was lost in Berlin by jewish spy's and German traitors. (Take note Americans, Washington is loaded with jews and you will never win another war against the communists until you throw them out!) The war against communist Russia was a crusade to save White Christian Civilization from destruction by jewish communism. The men who fought and died in the struggle in the East have been condemned, partly because they lost, but the soundness of their fear of jewish communism has been borne out by history.

World War II was brought on and concluded according to a deliberate plan developed and carried out by a conspiracy of a small, centrally directed group of jews high in the governments

of nearly every nation. That the jews intended to deliver all of Eastern Europe to communism is made clear by their activities as the war came to a close. After Stalingrad, the possibilities of a German victory in Russia were nearly ruined, but the war was by no means over. As the German and allied armies pulled back towards the West, they desperately attempted to form a line of resistance to the communist onslaught; once such a defensive line was formed two courses of action would become available. The first one, and the one on which Hitler based his strategy, was to hold all possible points in the East in the belief that it was only a matter of time before the United States and Britain would stop listening to the Jews and come to see communism as their real enemy. Hitler would have then signed a separate peace with the West, and with the aid of his new allies he would have mounted a great counteroffensive which would totally crush the communist army. As late as March 1945 German troops were ordered to hold, at all costs, bridgeheads across the Oder because they would be needed as launching points for the joint attack. Now you know the real reason why Hitler ordered his men to fight to the last, even in the streets of Berlin, not because he or they were crazy fanatics as the rotten jews have been telling you ever since the war ended. They were HEROES and were actually fighting for us and CHRISTIAN civilization while we were helping the KILLERS OF CHRIST!!!

Although the Jews would never have allowed the Allies to consider such an arrangement, at least the Germans were thinking right even if we were not. The second possibility provided by a stabilized line of defense from the communist hordes offered to the Western powers an opportunity to save Eastern Europe even without accepting Germany as an ally. Those who fought and died to form this line of defense for us, and to permit, thereby, the penetration of Western armies deep into the East fought and died in vain, unless we do something to make up for the sins we committed Remember, ALMIGHTY GOD IS HOLDING US RESPONSIBLE, and that is why America is in such great trouble today!

The Western Powers, conforming to the pre-established plans of the Jews, had decided as early as the conferences at Quebec and Teheran to allow the communist hordes to advance up to Berlin, Prague and Vienna and had consented to

abandon eleven European countries as prey for the communist Jewish behemoth. The Western Allies had thus refused to take advantage of this opportunity to save millions of innocent Christian peoples from brutal slavery. Instead they halted at the Elbe.

How complete was the subservience of Washington and London to the wishes of the jews become absolutely clear in February 1945. Hitler sent a message to Mihailovich, the heroic leader of the Chetniks of Yugoslavia, to be relayed to the British. Hitler offered to withdraw all German troops from the Balkans if the United States and England would agree to start occupying the abandoned area within twenty-four hours; after the West had completely occupied the Balkans, Germany would withdraw from Hungary and Czecho-Slovakia, again on the condition that the West fill the vacuum. The jew led Allies refused even to consider the proposal, telling Mihailovich to have the Germans MAKE THEIR OFFER TO THE COMMUNISTS!!!

The war ended with Germany smashed in half; occupied by the communists, with Estonia, Lithuania, Latvia, Poland, Czecho-Slovakia, Hungary, Rumania, Bulgaria, Yugoslavia and Albania under jewish rule, and with only communism victorious. Those military or

civilian leaders who had opposed communism for so long were executed, imprisoned or forced into exile. Let this paper provide a stern lesson for those who today believe that they can reach an accommodation with the communists. Remember the "Liberals", the appeasers, and the non-communists, who had opposed the war against the Soviet Union, had sabotaged the war effort, and had betrayed their own country into communist-jewish hands, were among the FIRST to be victimized by the talmudic beast. They too were EXECUTED, IMPRISONED or forced into exile ... not with the knowledge that they had fought to the end against their nation's implacable enemy, the JEWS, but with the indelible stain of having helped in the defeat of their own country!

The warning is clear and, if it is not heeded, the United States too will suffer the fate it allowed to befall so many millions of their fellow Christian Israelites. But this time there will not even be a place of exile!

AMERICANS, WAKE UP AND FIGHT JEWISH COMMUNISM ... WHILE YOU STILL HAVE TIME!!!

The End OS51544

Letters And Views



The Conduct of War by Politicians in Cabinet (Cast Iron Railings or Futility)

IN London I often passed St Paul's Cathedral and admired the black iron railings still in perfect condition, rust free, after over 300

years old. This reminds me when my stepfather told me, of the mysterious iron pillar in India over 800 years old which had never rusted! After his passing I learned the reason. Until our industrial revolution charcoal was used to smelt iron, which is pure and will not cause the iron to rust. When coal was used the furnace had to be invented to prevent too many impurities in the iron. But by this method pure iron cannot be produced, so it rusts.

Going for my comic the *Daily Mail*, every morning, I see some of the war injuries of Scarborough, noticeably the old folks home. A low stone wall and in the capstones are the holes where the black iron railings used to sit. Behind the wall is the brown wooden fence in lieu of the better looking black iron railings.

And why are the surviving railings black today? In Georgian and early Victorian times railings

were painted in bright colours. Roses are red... This created employment which stopped at the death of Prince Albert. Queen Victoria decreed that all iron railings be painted black in mourning. And they are still mourning the death of Albert!

This was a catastrophe for the colourful artists who painted the railings and they had to find other work to make their livings. The railings have been black ever since. With all due respect to Victoria, I consider her to have made a foolish decree.



We were living at 42 Shotburn Crescent, Leven, Fife, when war started. I watched two men collecting our railings for scrap iron. They used a burner to cut the metal and when my stepfather returned home in the early evening I asked him what they were using. “**An oxyacetylene torch**”, he said.

My stepfather was working at Durie foundry on war production, making aluminium wings for fighter aircraft and assembling armoured cars and sometimes he had to go to see the Ministry in London.

He came home once in a blazing temper. When the train was passing through the wolds or dales of Yorkshire he saw tens of thousands of tonnes of black and railings lying rusting in our brisk British weather.

He explained why to my mother and me. An iron furnace is like a bottle of wine. The best melt is at the top and the lesser is at the bottom. It is so full of impurities it can only be used for and railings. So this “scrap” and could not be used for weapons or shells as these have to be made from the best quality steel. (war uses the best and the best of humanity are killed.)

This reminds me of a poem I read in a boy’s book, — “*As I was walking down stupidities Street*”. If any reader knows it please inform our editor.

We can all say that this futile and expensive nonsense was dreamed up (our nightmare) in Cabinet. And no politician had the wit to ask a technical expert – an iron-master or an engineer.

We only “won” the war by God’s good grace and real men like Dowding, Brook, Auchinleck etc under the authority of fools. There are no winners in any war, except banksters and armaments manufacturers like Chamberlain who got us into the war.

I read once that 30,000 missiles were shot, thrown or dropped to kill one man in World War I. If any historian, with the necessary knowledge, is reading this, he/she may wish to calculate the **cost of death and the death profit** for manufacturers. The profit for banksters will be far more difficult – we are still paying for the arms used at Waterloo and once it has been published. It will be a jolly good book.



PS there is a curious tale regarding the black iron rails at Chamberlain’s house. He applied to Winston Churchill (I trust that the mention of this specimen chills the marrow in the bones of every reader) to have his railings prescribed. Churchill owed Chamberlain a great deal – without World War II he would have remained in the political wilderness. But Chamberlain with an eye to his profits had precipitated our involvement in the war by making a pact with Poland in 1938. Pure hypocrisy, for if Hitler attacks Poland we cannot get our fleet into the Baltic and plant our small army to help them. Chamberlain “keeping the words of an Englishman” made war on Germany, but not on Russia, who were taking their “share” of Poland. We had eight months of phoney war and no aid was given to Poland, not even by our bombers.

Chamberlain had his request regarding his railings refused. The idiot did not realise that all politicians including himself are like sharks. If

a shark is injured and bleeding the rest of the shark squadron will turn on him and have a feeding frenzy. When a politician begins to fail all others in the party will turn on him in a frenzy. **Yours Truly, An Ancient Mariner.**

Tommy (with apologies to Kipling) by Patrick Campbell RM



They flew me 'ome from Baghdad with a bullet
in me chest.
Cos they've closed the army 'ospitals, I'm in the
NHS.
The nurse, she ain't no Britisher an' so she ain't
impressed.
It's like I'm some street corner thug who's come
off second best.

Yes, it's Tommy this, an' Tommy that, an'
"You're not welcome 'ere".
But when Saddam was collar'd, they was quick
enough to cheer.
They're proud when Tommy Atkins 'olds the
thin red line out there, But now
he's wounded back at 'ome, he has to wait for
care.

Some stranger in the next bed sez, "Don't you
feel no shame?
You kill my Muslim brothers!" So it's me not
'im to blame!
An' then the cleaner ups an' sez "Who are you
fightin' for?
It ain't for Queen and country 'cos it's Bush's
bloody war!"

It's Tommy this, an' Tommy that, an' "Tommy,
what's that smell?"
But it's "God go with you, Tommy," when they
fly us out to 'ell.
O then we're just like 'eroes from the army's
glorious past.
Yes, it's "God go with you, Tommy," when the
trip might be your last.

They pays us skivvy wages, never mind we're
sitting ducks, When clerks
what's pushing pens at 'ome don't know their
flippin' luck.

"Ah, yes" sez they "but think of all the travel to
be 'ad."
Pull the other one. Does Cooks do 'olidays in
Baghdad?
It's Tommy this, an' Tommy that, an' "Tommy,
know your place,"
But it's "Tommy, take the front seat," when
there's terrorists to chase.
An' the town is full of maniacs who'd like you
dead toot sweet.

Yes, it's "Thank you, Mr Atkins," when they
find you in the street.
There's s'posed to be a covynant to treat us
fair an' square But I 'ad to
buy me army boots, an' me combats is
threadbare.

An' 'alf the bloody 'elicopters can't get into the
air, An' me pistol jammed
when snipers fired. That's why I'm laid up 'ere.
Yes, it's Tommy this, an' Tommy that, "We
'ave to watch the pence"; Bold as
brass the P.M. sez, "We spare them no
expense."
"But I'll tell you when they do us proud an' pull
out all the stops.

From our Southend Correspondent



**Above - How The Proposed High
Speed Rail Link Between London and
Birmingham will look like!**

From our Midland Correspondent

Master Or Servant

Exodus 19:5-6, Deuteronomy 7:6, Isaiah 41:8, 44:21

John Trotter (Australia)

For thou art an holy people unto the LORD thy God: the LORD thy God hath chosen thee to be a special people unto himself, above all people that are upon the face of the earth.

— Deuteronomy 7:6 (KJV)

THIS subject deserves a book, not a brief article. It is hoped, nevertheless, that the few thoughts expressed will highlight the difference as to whether true Israel is to be a master or servant people. It is necessary to say that for those who are chosen to rule with Christ and be of service within Israel, will have to be humbled and made to realise that faith and obedience to the God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob, is absolutely necessary. The 2 Gospels of personal Salvation and the Kingdom message has to be fully understood and accepted.

For too long the churches have been largely preaching from the New Testament. The relevance of the Old Testament and its history has been more often expressed as just lessons for personal living. Verses like Romans 15:4 and 1 Corinthians 10:11 have almost lost their relevance. It is true there might be reference to the Psalms and the Ten Commandments, but more often the historical connection is put aside and in its place a personal message is derived. The true Gospel has become a “me, me Gospel”. The national message is completely overlooked. This teaching amazes me, considering Jesus Christ continually quoted from the Old Testament and also the New Testament did not come into being until some decades after the Resurrection of Christ. The book of Revelation was not completed till after 90 A.D. Because of spiritualising, the servant role of Israel has been lost.

Whilst there are a number of reasons why the Old Testament is considered irrelevant, I will be mentioning only one reason. It is the view of some that the Old Testament is a script that is preparing the “Jews” for world domination. This view considers them the “master race” and they

have been given the authority to rule the world by the use of money and controlling the world’s wealth. This is an immense subject. It is my view that there are cabal plans for world domination but to just point the finger at one group takes the attention away from the broader issues.

There has been written many books on this emotional and illusive subject. Such a book is, “*The Controversy of Zion*” by Douglas Reed. This book is full of interesting information but for the brevity of this article I will only provide 2 quotes. They are from page 1 and page 13: “The true start of this affair occurred on a day in 458 B.C. which this narrative will reach in its 6th ch. On that day the petty Palestinian tribe of Judah (earlier disowned by the Israelites) produced a racial creed, the disruptive effect of which on subsequent human affairs may have exceeded that of explosives or epidemics. This was the day on which the theory of the master-race was set up as “the Law” and secondly, “Therefore Deuteronomy is also the basis of the political programmes, of worldly dominion over nations despoiled and enslaved, which has been largely realized in the West during this Twentieth Century. Deuteronomy is of direct relevance to the events of our day, and much of the confusion surrounding them disperses if they are studied in its light”.

There is no doubt that Israel, for reasons that would not be normally accepted, was favoured by God over other nations. What is overlooked was that this favouring was not for Israel’s sake, but for God’s Holy sake. On the surface, the following verses that are used by D. Reed and others do appear to indicate that Israel, more specifically the tribe of Judah, was not only superior but was to be a future master race over all other nations. Without some in depth study you either accept Mr Reed’s understanding or you finally accept the teaching that the “bride of Christ” has been replaced by the “body of Christ” or the “church”, both of which are incorrect.

Below are some verses that would indicate, on the surface, Israel’s role as master over all other

nations: Exodus 19:5,6; Leviticus 20:24; Deuteronomy 7:6, 15:6, 26:19, 28:1,13; Isaiah 14:2, 19:25, 49:23, 60:14. There are many other verses that D. Reed and others use to justify their beliefs.

Deuteronomy 7:6 says: “For thou art an holy people unto the Lord thy God: the Lord thy God hath chosen thee to be a special people unto Himself, above all people that are upon the face of the earth”. The following few thoughts show why we cannot take words on face value. The word holy means separated. Being separate from the world is also a theme that runs through the New Testament even by Jesus Himself. The same thoughts can be applied to the word chosen. The word special means peculiar treasure. Again this is mentioned in Psalm 135:4, Titus 2:14 and 1 Peter 2:9. The phrase “above all people” is best understood by referring back to the word peculiar. One of the cross references to Deuteronomy 7:6 is Malachi 3:17.

If the sovereignty of God, which is also a Biblical theme, is to be considered then we have to accept the fact that God is the potter and we are the clay (Isaiah 64:8). It is not on our conditions that God can accept or reject. If God needs for His plan a people who will be used to show the way of Salvation for all people, SO BE IT.

Throughout the Bible we are told that even Israel, who is treated so differently to other nations, will be rebuked and chastised (Deuteronomy 8:5, Hebrews 12:6 and Revelation 3:19). It is stated in Hosea 4:6 that God will reject and forget His children due to their disobedience. We also would be cursed if we disobeyed His Laws as stated in Deuteronomy 28. Not one of these verses, and many more would indicate to me that Israel was to be some master race of people. The Laws that Israel was expected to obey only showed that they were a schoolmaster to bring us unto Christ so as we might be justified by faith (Galatians 3:24).

The Bible is very clear that God cannot complete His Kingdom plan if He was to totally destroy Israel as a people. Even if Israel was totally obliterated from the earth, God would raise up children of Abraham from the stones beneath our feet as stated in Matthew 3:9. On the other hand the Unconditional Covenants are as eternal as the existence of God Himself.

This total inability of God to completely eradicate Israel from the affairs of history, shows the providence of God even towards a people who have been stiff necked from the beginning of their history (Exodus 33 :3, Deuteronomy 9:6). The reason why it says in Malachi 3:6, “For I am the Lord, I change not, therefore ye sons of Jacob are not consumed”, is because the servant work of Israel is not yet complete. It is my belief that in God’s plan Israel is to be brought low and that the wickedness and backsliding (apostate unbelievers) are to be used by God to reprove Israel (Jeremiah 2:19), His wife (Isaiah 54:5, Jeremiah 3:14). These are not the signs of a people who are supposed to hold the world to ransom.



From the beginning of Israel’s existence the rulers of the world have come together as a counsel to destroy the Lord and His anointed (Psalm 2:2). They have said, “Come and let

us cut them off from being a nation so that the name of Israel may be no more in remembrance” (Psalm 83:4). I ask the question, Why would the enemies of Israel go to so much trouble, if Israel was to be the master race over all nations? It is a sad fact that the churches have carried out most of this attempt to obliterate the name of Israel. They have done it by spiritualising so much of Scripture. Even the Kingdom Parables have been spiritualised to the point that the only message is personal. As Sir Isaac Newton once said, “About the time of the end, a body of men will be raised up who will turn their attention to the prophecies and insist upon their literal interpretation in the midst of much clamour and opposition”. It is so fortunate that Israel under the New Covenant arrangements is yet to complete her work as a servant. Also if Douglas Reed is correct how could Israel which included the tribe of Judah be considered a master people when they are “dumb and blind”? (Isaiah 42:19).

The fulfilment of the Gospel being taken to the 4 corners of the earth has been completed. This

Gospel has been taken to the ends of the earth by the Anglo-Saxon-Celtic nations. This cannot be denied. In a recently written book entitled, “The Book That Made Your World (How the Bible created the soul of Western Civilisation)” by Mr. V. Mangalwadi the author makes the following observation. On p. 271 he refers to the 19th century revival. This Great Awakening opened up the intelligent study of the Bible to the masses. He then states, “It restored the Bible’s position as the Book of books of the Anglo-Saxon peoples. Their biblical revival held in check the character-destroying consequences of atheism that corrupted other European nations like France”. This is most interesting considering the author is an Indian with a Hindu background. He is a devout Christian.

The role of Israel as a servant people will continue on into the millennium. The tares within the Kingdom will be cast into outer darkness, outside the boundaries of the Kingdom. The influence of Satan will be taken out of the way. The “ecclesia” will be spread over the earth whilst Christ will rule over the house of Jacob (Luke 1:33). In my view the “ecclesia” will be made up of true “followers of the way”, no matter their nation or race. The “over comers” will inherit the Kingdom and rule with Christ. Eventually the knowledge of the Lord will cover the earth as the waters cover the sea (Isaiah 11:9, Habakkuk 2:14). God’s shepherds will feed the flock with knowledge and understanding (Jeremiah 3:15, 23:4). “Every man shall sit under his fig tree and none shall make him afraid” (Micah 4:4).


On page 401 the author of the above book asks the following pertinent question. “At the dawn of the twenty-first century, the West is again losing its soul. Will it relapse into a new dark age or humble itself before the Word of the Almighty God?” It is my view that both is going to happen and God is going to let it happen. The West has got to be brought to its knees. God is going to allow us to reach a very low point, so as we cannot say “Mine own hand hath saved me” (Judges 7:2). The wise will understand and discern the times (Daniel 12:10, Malachi 3:18) but the power of the Holy people will be scattered (Daniel 12:7). The saving of Israel as mentioned in Romans 11:26,27 is for the purpose of turning ungodliness from Jacob. One of my favourite verses in the Bible is Matthew 24:22 which says: “And except those days should be shortened, there should no flesh be saved: but for the elect’s sake those days shall be shortened (docked)”. And who is God’s “elect”? (Isaiah 45:4).

From the above few verses the following question has to be asked: Why is there continued favouritism for Israel by God, when throughout her history she has been so rebellious? There are briefly 2 reasons for this: the role of Israel as a servant people is not finished due to the promises underlying the unconditional covenants and secondly the God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob, will be glorified throughout all His creation.

The End OS21546

A Beast With A Hand? (Part 3)

By Jason Blaha



BEFORE we dive into the Old Testament, let us take a peek into the New Testament. This is for those who lack faith in our Father's Word, or who have been brainwashed in the establishment churches. It is sad indeed when we must prove the validity of the Old Testament to a Christian with the New Testament, but in this modern era, far too many of us have forgotten that Scripture is Scripture, regardless of its age. Once one reads this, it should remove all doubt about the removal of the Law by the coming of Christ.

Matt 15: 16: "Let your light so shine before men, that they may see your good works, and glorify your Father which is in heaven."

Verse 17: "THINK NOT that I (Jesus Christ/ Yahshua...God in the flesh) am come to destroy the Law, or the prophets: I am not come to destroy, but to fulfil."

Verse 18: "For verily I say unto you, Till heaven and earth pass, one jot or tittle shall in no wise pass from the Law, till all be fulfilled."

That is a powerful statement! However, every Sunday, thousands of preachers are telling their congregation the exact opposite. Christians are being told that Christ came to destroy and replace the Law and the prophets. They are being told that certain parts of the Law, the food and health laws for example, no longer apply. Do not be fooled by these preachers of Ba'al. Not one part of the Law can be removed until heaven and earth pass. Any preacher who tells you otherwise is anti-Christ, because he is denying the words that Jesus Christ just spoke to you in **Matthew chapter 5**.

Verse 19: "Whosoever therefore shall break one of these least commandments, and shall teach men so, he shall be called the least in the kingdom of heaven: but whosoever shall do and teach them, the same shall be called great in the kingdom of heaven."

With the understanding that the Law still stands, let us see what the Scriptures have to say of "inter-racial marriage"(Fornication with a beast). Kind after kind, is a fundamental commandment. It is the natural order of things, as established by Yahweh.

Genesis 1: 24; "And God said, Let the earth bring forth the living creatures after his kind, cattle, and creeping thing, and the beast of the earth AFTER HIS KIND: and it was so."

Verse 25: "And God made the beast of the earth after his kind, and cattle after their kind, and every thing that creepeth upon the earth after his kind: and God saw that it was good."

Exodus 22: 19: "Whosoever lieth with a beast shall surely be put to death."

Leviticus 20: 15:" And if a man lie with a beast, he shall surely be put to death: and ye shall slay the beast."

Verse 16: "And if a woman approach unto any beast, and lie down thereto, thou shalt kill the woman, and the beast: they shall surely be put to death; their blood shall be upon them."

Leviticus 18: 23-24: "Neither shall thou lie with any beast to defile thyself therewith: neither shall any woman stand before a beast to lie down thereto: it is confusion. Defile not ye yourselves in any of these things: for in all these the nations are defiled which I cast out before you."



Deuteronomy 23: 2: "A bastard (taken from the Hebrew word "mamzer" which means a mongrel or a half-breed) shall not enter into the congregation of YHVH; even to his tenth generation shall he not enter in to the congregation of YHVH."

"Well I thought Jesus came for everyone, regardless of race!" Certainly some of you are thinking this. Well,

Yahshua did come for all men ('adam), but not for the beast of the field. Let us see where man and beast differ in the New Testament.

Matthew 7: 6: "Give not that which is holy unto DOGS (remember this part about dogs, and we shall find out what Yahshua was talking about later in the book of Matthew), neither cast ye your pearls before swine (these are the serpents who call themselves "Jews", who claim to be Israel, but are not, and are of the synagogue of Satan), lest they trample them under their feet, and turn against and rend you."

Verse 7: "Ask, and it shall be given you; seek, and ye shall find; knock, and it shall be opened unto you."

Verse 8: "For every one that asketh receiveth; and he that seeketh findeth; and to him that knocketh it shall be opened."

Verse 9: "Or what man is there of you, whom if his son ask bread, will he give him a stone?"

Verse 10: "Or if he ask a fish, will give him a serpent?"

Verse 11: "If ye then, being evil, know how to give good gifts unto your children, how much more shall your Father which is in heaven give good things to them that ask Him?"

Cross reference everything in those verses what we are about to read in Matthew chapter 15, and see the example that Yahshua gave when it came to following the above commandments.

Matthew 15: 22: "And, behold, a woman of Canaan came out of the same coasts, and cried unto him, saying, Have mercy on me, O Lord, thou son of David; my daughter is grievously vexed with a devil."

Verse 23: "But He answered her NOT A WORD. And His disciples came and besought Him, saying, Send her away; for she crieth after us."

Do you understand, when she asked Yahshua for aid, He just ignored her, and even His disciples wanted Him to send her away. They didn't want anything to do with her. Why? We shall see

Verse 24: "But He answered and said, I am not sent but unto the lost sheep of the house of Israel (Who are today known as the Anglo-Saxon, Celtic, Nordic, Germanic, Lombardic, Teutonic, and kindred peoples of Europe)."

Verse 25: "Then came she and worshipped Him, saying Lord, help me."

Verse 26: "But He answer and said, It is not meet to take the children's (the Children of Yahweh through Adam) bread, and to cast it to DOGS (beasts...chay kiy 'erets)."

Verse 27: "And she said, Truth, Lord: yet even the dogs eat of the crumbs of which fall from their master's table." Note in Genesis that Adam was given dominance over all beasts, and thus is their master. Note what happens when she accepts her god-ordained role, as a dog (beast) and servant of man (Adamites).

Verse 28: "Then Jesus answered and said unto her, O woman, great is thy faith: be it unto thee even as thou wilt. And her daughter was made whole from that very hour."

Note, that Yahshua did not give the children's bread to her, nor offer her anything holy. He did not offer her the keys to the kingdom. She received the crumbs from the table. A healing for her daughter, which is an earthly blessing. In ignorance, many Christians have been deceived by the Ba'al ministers into thinking that this woman was gentile, but for one to be a gentile, they must first be an Adamite, not a beast of the field, for the gentiles came not of the pre-Adamic races, but of the pure racial sons of Adam. Israel versus the gentiles, is not a difference in race, but a difference in the branches of the same racial tree.

The gentiles (sons of Japheth) were indeed grafted back into the tree of Adam with the coming of Yahshua, but they could only be grafted back into the tree, because this is where they came from. You cannot graft a branch from an apple tree into a tree that produces oranges. Neither can you graft the gentiles back into the racial tree of the White Adamic Race, called Israelites of old, and called Christians today, unless this is the same root from which they sprang.



Let not the cries that there is no difference between "Jew and Gentile", that these Ba'al ministers cry every Sunday, persuade you to believe that all races have a common ancestry in Adam, and are all the same, with the coming of Christ. Yahweh

says that He is the same yesterday, today, tomorrow and forever. Is this to say that there is injustice with God? God forbid. Yahweh had a divine purpose in mind when He created the black beast and the yellow beast, just as He had a plan when he formed Adam as His flesh and blood son here on this earth. However, their purpose and ultimate destinations are not the same. Let us read what Ecclesiastes, the book of the priest, has to say on the matter of man and beast.

Ecclesiastes 3: 18: *"I said in mine heart concerning the estate of the sons of men, that God might manifest them, and that they might see that they themselves are beasts [this is to saying "living things"]."*

Verse 19: *"For that which befalleth the sons of man [here in their earthly bodies] befalleth beasts; even one thing befalleth them: as the one dieth, so dieth the other; yea, they have all one breath; so that a man hath no preeminence above a beast: for all is vanity."*

Verse 20: *"All go to one place [this is the grave]; all are of the dust, and all turn to dust again."*

Verse 21: *"Who knoweth [yada', to know by seeing] the spirit of man that goeth upward, and the spirit of the beast that goeth downward to the earth?"*

Verse 22: *"Wherefore I perceive that there is nothing better [while here in this flesh*

tabernacle], than that a man should rejoice in his own works; for that is his portion: for who shall bring him to see what shall be after him?"

You see, the spirit of man, though we see it not, shall return to the celestial planes [upward] to be with Yahweh when his flesh tabernacle returns to dust, while the spirit of the beast shall go into the inner earth [downward], where resides both the grave [Hades] and a chamber known as Paradise.

Only Yahweh can say what becomes of the beast there, but only Israel shall reside in the Kingdom of Heaven, for this has been told to us over and over in the Scriptures. May our Father Yahweh/Yahshua shower His blessings upon you, and may He open your eyes to these truths, if you are of the pure line of Adam.

The End OS12715

The Genesis Flood

Why the Bible Says It *Must* be Local (2)

by
Rich Deem



knew that the water was abated from the earth. (Genesis 8:10-11)

If the ark had come to rest on the *top* of Mount Ararat, this would be at 17,000 foot elevation. Olive trees (and every other tree) do not grow at 17,000 feet. In fact, you will not find olive trees growing much above 5,000 feet. Therefore, we know from the Bible that the ark did not come to rest on or near the top of Mount Ararat, but probably somewhere on the foothills of the mountain.

The method by which the flood ended also tells us that the flood was local. According to Genesis, the water receded and was dried by the wind. If the flood were global, there would be no place for the waters to recede to. Likewise, a wind would not significantly affect a global flood, further suggesting that the Genesis flood was local in extent.

THERE is another indication in the text that the flood did not cover the highest mountains. Again, from Genesis 8:

So he waited yet another seven days; and again he sent out the dove from the ark. And the dove came to him toward evening; and behold, in her beak was a freshly picked olive leaf. So Noah

Planet Earth became a desert after the flood!



Another problem for the global flood interpretation is what happened to the "earth" after the flood. Read the following verses and see if you can see why the word "earth" does not refer to the entire planet:

Then it came about at the end of forty days, that Noah opened the window of the ark which he had made; and he sent out a raven, and it flew here and there until the water was dried up from the earth. (Genesis 8:6-7, NASB)

After forty days Noah opened the window he had made in the ark and sent out a raven, and it kept flying back and forth until the water had dried up from the earth. (Genesis 8:6-7, NIV)

Now it came about in the six hundred and first year, in the first month, on the first of the month, the water was dried up from the earth. (Genesis 8:13a, NASB)

By the first day of the first month of Noah's six hundred and first year, the water had dried up from the earth. (Genesis 8:13a, NIV)

and in the second month, on the twenty-seventh day of the month, the earth was dry. (Genesis 8:14, NASB)

By the twenty-seventh day of the second month the earth was completely dry. (Genesis 8:14, NIV)

If one were to interpret these verses from a global perspective, one would have to conclude that the entire earth became a desert after the flood.

Obviously this interpretation is false, so the translations must be bad. In these verses, the dryness of the earth is obviously referring to the local land area and *not* the entire planet earth.

New Testament perspective

What does the New Testament tell us about the flood? As mentioned previously, the New Testament tells us that the flood was universal in its judgment. Besides this, there is an interesting passage from 2 Peter that gives some insight into the nature of the flood:

For when they maintain this, it escapes their notice that by the word of God the heavens existed long ago and the land was formed out of water and by water, through which the world at that time was destroyed, being flooded with water. (2 Peter 3:5-6)

Peter, instead of just telling us that the entire planet was flooded, qualifies the verse by telling us that the "world at that time" was flooded with water. What was different about the world "at that time" compared to the world of today? At the time of the flood, all humans were in the same geographic location (the people of the world were not scattered over the earth until Genesis 11). Therefore, the "world at the time" was confined to the Mesopotamian plain. There would be no reason to qualify the verse if the flood were global in extent.

Early Hebrew interpretation

Many Christian believe that a local flood interpretation is a recent invention of those who are trying to reconcile science with the Bible. However, the first century Hebrew writer, Josephus wrote about other writers who indicated that the flood was local and that some inhabitants survived by seeking higher ground:

"Now all the writers of barbarian [Greek] histories make mention of this flood and of this ark: among whom is Berosus the Chaldean... Hieronymous the Egyptian.... Nicolaus of Damascus, in his ninety-sixth book, hath a particular relation about them, where he speaks thus: 'There is a great mountain in Armenia, over


Minyas, called Baris, upon which it is reported that many who fled at the time of the Deluge were saved; and that one who was carried in an ark came on shore upon top of it; and that the remains of the timber were a great while preserved. This might be the man about whom Moses, the legislator of the Jews wrote'."

Josephus does not seek to correct their narrative. So, the idea that the flood was a local event is not just a 20th century phenomenon

Common objections to a local flood

Why didn't God send Noah on a long trip?

If the Genesis flood were local, why didn't God just send Noah and his family packing. Once they were out of the Mesopotamian flood plain, God could have judged the unrighteous without making Noah go to all the trouble of building a huge ark. It is true that God *could* have done this, although there are some good biblical reasons why He chose not to do so. Why did God make the Israelites march around Jericho for seven days prior to the wall falling down? Why did God make the Israelite look upon the bronze serpent to be healed of snake bite in the wilderness?



Why did Jesus make the blind man go to the Pool of Siloam to heal his blindness? Were any of these things actually required for God to do His work? No! God could have just wiped out all the evil people in the world, as He did later to the all the Egyptians' first-born. Maybe God had good reasons for Noah to build the ark? God has a purpose for each person of faith to join Him in preaching His message. God's plan will be accomplished regardless of our participation in it. However, God gives obedient humans the privilege of participating in God's plans. Likewise, God had a plan for Noah, part of which was for him and

his sons to demonstrate their commitment and perseverance to the Lord.

One will notice in the judgments that God renders, He almost always gives a warning to those who are being judged. For example, God sent angels to Sodom before it was to be destroyed, sent Jonah to Nineveh to warn them of the judgment to come, and will send two prophets to warn the people of the earth of the final judgment. The building of the ark was a great testimony of the coming judgment, since it was preached for 100 years during the building of the ark. The New Testament states this idea directly, since it says that Noah was a "preacher of righteousness":

For if God did not spare angels when they sinned, but cast them into hell and committed them to pits of darkness, reserved for judgment; and did not spare the ancient world, but preserved Noah, a preacher of righteousness, with seven others, when He brought a flood upon the world of the ungodly; (2 Peter 2:4-5)

If God had told Noah to just migrate away from the flood area, the people would not have been warned of the impending judgment. Ultimately, they were without excuse in their rebellion against God, since the impending judgment was proclaimed to them for 100 years before it happened. Likewise, God will send two preachers for 1260 days prior to the ultimate judgment of God. Those who get on God's ark (Jesus Christ) will be saved from the judgment and pass from death to eternal life.

God promised no more floods like the Genesis flood

What about the **Genesis 9:11** and **9:15**. If the flood was local, did God lie, since floods have destroyed local areas since the Genesis flood.

"And I establish My covenant with you; and all flesh shall never again be cut off by the water of the flood, neither shall there again be a flood to destroy the earth." (Genesis 9:11)

and I will remember My covenant, which is between Me and you and every living creature of all flesh; and never again shall the water

become a flood to destroy all flesh. (**Genesis 9:15**)

The first part of the verse is a promise not to exercise universal judgment by means of a flood, "all flesh shall never again be cut off by the water of the flood." The flood, although local in extent, was global in judgment, since all humanity lived in the same locale. It wasn't until God confused the languages (Genesis 11) that people began to spread over the earth. So, God promised to never again execute universal judgment of humans by means of a flood. The second part, "never again will there be a flood to destroy the earth" can be explained by other verses found in the Genesis flood account.

Gen 6:11 *Now the earth was corrupt in the sight of God, and the earth was filled with violence.*

Gen 6:12 *And God looked on the earth, and behold, it was corrupt; for all flesh had corrupted their way upon the earth.*



The passage in this instance refers to the people of the earth, since planet earth itself was not corrupt. Likewise, **Genesis 9:11** is referring to the people of the earth rather than the planet itself. Ultimately, even if the flood were global, it did not "destroy the earth," but just the people on the earth. As stated **above**, "people" is often understood from the Hebrew word *erets*.

Why were birds on the ark?

If the Flood was local, why would birds have been sent on board? They could simply have flown to a nearby mountain range. Most birds (other than a few migratory birds) have a very localized territory. They would have been killed

in the local flood, since they are not designed to fly long distances. Certainly archaeopteryx was not a strong flyer. Hummingbirds would drop dead in 20 minutes or less. One thing that you will notice when there is a strong rain is that birds do not fly. Flying in heavy rain is not easy. They would have sat on their perches until the water drowned them.

Why did God required Noah to take animals if the flood was local?

Some animals are indigenous only to the Mesopotamian area. More importantly, it would have taken hundreds of years longer to replace the fauna if everything had been wiped out and had to migrate back in. In addition, Noah would have had a huge problem replacing his herds.

How could the flood waters rise 15 cubits (8 meters) above the mountains in a local flood (Genesis 7:20)?

Didn't the flood cover the highest mountains? The Hebrew word "har," translated "mountains," occurs 649 times in the Old Testament. In 212 instances, the word is translated "hill" or "hills" or "hill country". In Genesis, it is translated "hill" in 10 out of 19 occurrences. Of course, 4 out of 9 times that it is translated as "mountain" is in the flood passage (the translators were wearing their global glasses when they did that translation!). In every instance in Genesis, the text could be translated "hill". Since no specific mountain range is mentioned in this verse, it is likely that the word refers to the hills that Noah could see.

Conclusion


This paper has shown that the Bible declares the Genesis flood to be local in extent, though universal in its judgment of humans (with the exception of Noah and his family). The evidence presented here is purely biblical, although a strong case could also be given for extra-biblical reasons. A global interpretation of the Genesis flood *requires* that certain non-flood-related verses of the Bible contradict each other. In addition, a global interpretation of the Genesis

flood would require the Genesis text to contradict itself. The lack of global references in the book of Genesis through chapter 11 (with the exception of Genesis 1), reveals that all the early events of Genesis occurred in a small geographic area. In addition, an examination of the original Hebrew text of the Genesis flood passage demonstrates that the global wording of our

English translations misrepresents the original intent of the account. Your assignment at this point is to re-read the **Genesis flood text** with the words "land" or "people" (depending upon the context) substituted for the word "earth." When you are finished, you will discover a remarkably different flood account than what you have read before.

The End OS21513

Psychology And Jews Arnold Leese



THE Institute of Medical Psychology and the organization for the Scientific Treatment of Delinquency are both simply bursting with Jews. It is no exaggeration to say that Jewish influence dominates both institutions.

The first named Institute's social functions at which funds are raised are generally presided over by Mrs. Israel Moses Sief and Mrs. H. Sacher, and attended by people called Cohen, Abrahams, Marks, Lebus, Laski, Braham, Walston, Szarvasy, Erleigh, Montefiore, etc., in the proportion of something like fifty percent.

The list of Vice-Presidents of the Institute for the scientific Treatment of Delinquency included (in 1937) the Jews A. Adler, R.D. Blumenfield, S. Freud, Rabbi Hertz, E. Miller, C.S. Myers, H. Sacher, Professor C.G. Seligman, and others; while on its Council are the Jews P. Quass, Marjorie Franklin, etc., and among the Lay Psychologists of the staff are the Jewish Duschinsky and Barbara Low.

David Eder, Jew, recently deceased, was one of the first supporters of the Institute; his wife's niece is Madame Litvinoff. Under the Probation of Offenders' Act, 1907, a criminal offender may be dealt with under a probation order placing him under supervision and this supervision is sometimes exercised by the Institute. Thus, there is in such cases a degree of compulsion involved, with treatment by the Institute as one of the conditions of escape from punishment.

319 cases from all sources were treated in the three years previous to 1937, and over one fifth were sexual cases.


Now it does not seem right to us that Jews should have even the slightest influence in Institutions purporting to give psychological treatment to Aryan patients. A Jew, whatever the Law may say, is entirely alien in mentality and instinct to the true Briton, and being a Jew should disqualify him as a psychologist from dealing with anyone but Jews.

Take the case of Freud himself, obsessed all his life by his inferiority complex as a Jew. What could he know of Aryan psychology? Did he actually give to the world a key to Psychological treatment or simply a confession of the base instincts which govern Jews? What Freud taught is doubtless true of Jews; but the student who comes under the influence of Freud with such perceptions as to the harmlessness of immorality and the superfluosness of virtue is not long in concluding that, besides being innocuous, sexual indulgence is essential to health and nervous stability; and that besides being superfluous, continence is actually pernicious to health! What is hannening under Freudian doctrines in

Universities of the U.S.A. is starkly described in Christ crucified in our Colleges by Dan Gilbert, of Los Angeles. Under Freudism, students are extinguishing their aspirations, deadening their intellects, stifling their moral senses, in short, bringing themselves down to the level of the Jew.

Prof. A. Adler, a Vice-President of the Institute, who died last year, was thus described in The Times, 29th May, 1937: "To him the struggle against the feeling of inferiority was the most powerful force in human life." He was opposed to Freud's sex theories, but we maintain, he should never have been allowed to exercise his profession on anyone but Jews, yet, he was founder and President of the International Society of Industrial Psychology and the editor of its Journal, and specialized in teaching parents how to develop their children on "satisfactory" (Jewish?) Lines!

From Freud (above) to the British Edda, the



promiscuity, to matriarchy, a state in which no man knows his own father. There is only one thing to do: that is, to remove the bestial influence of the Jew from every branch of our culture, whether it be the debased pseudo-science of Freud's psycho-analysis, the abominable stone-men of Epstein, the degenerate cults of the Cubists and their fellow felons, or the printed Jewish dirt from which under democracy there is no escape.

"We have fooled, bemused and corrupted the youth of the goyim (Gentiles) by rearing them in principles and theories which are known to us

to be false although it is by us that they have been inculcated." (Protocol No. 9 of the Protocols of the Elders of Zion).

The Jews are attacking through the children now; we know where it is going on, under the camouflage of "coeducation" or the "New Education;" and we know of influential people whose own children have been ruined by it, but who do not come forward and denounce it to save the children of others!

To these people we must apply the exhortation: "Dishonour not your mothers; now attest that those whom you called fathers did beget you. Be copied now to men of grosser blood. And teach them how to war."

The End OS21551

Onions For Health From Our USA Correspondent



INSTEAD of a deadly flu shot, cut open an onion each day and let it absorb the germs and flu virus, and be safe from the poisons the Edomites put into flu shots. Then replace the onions each day:

**PLEASE READ TO THE END:
IMPORTANT**

Before we start: Now many people say this is fake or not all the information is accurate and it does not work but this is a photo of an onion my friend Terri chopped up last night and left in her room, she has been sick for weeks and this is what happened to the Onion, she is feeling way

better today. Something turned a brand new onion like this overnight so check it out for yourself— these are the claims:

In 1919 when the flu killed 40 million people there was this Doctor that visited the many farmers to see if he could help them combat the flu...

Many of the farmers and their families had contracted it and many died.

The doctor came upon this one farmer and to his surprise, everyone was very healthy. When the doctor asked what the farmer was doing that was different the wife replied that she had placed an unpeeled onion in a dish in the rooms of the home, (probably only two rooms back then). The doctor couldn't believe it and asked if he could have one of the onions and place it under the microscope. She gave him one and when he did this, he did find the flu virus in the onion. It obviously absorbed the bacteria, therefore, keeping the family healthy.

Now, I heard this story from my hairdresser. She said that several years ago, many of her employees were coming down with the flu, and so were many of her customers. The next year she placed several bowls with onions around in her shop. To her surprise, none of her staff got sick. It must work. Try it and see what happens. We did it last year and we never got the flu.

Now there is a P. S. to this for I sent it to a friend in Oregon who regularly contributes material to me on health issues. She replied with this most interesting experience about onions:

Thanks for the reminder. I don't know about the farmer's story...but, I do know that I contacted pneumonia, and, needless to say, I was very ill... I came across an article that said to cut both ends off an onion put it into an empty jar, and place the jar next to the sick patient at night. It said the onion would be black in the morning from the germs...sure enough it happened just like that...the onion was a mess and I began to feel better.

Another thing I read in the article was that onions and garlic placed around the room saved many from the black plague years ago. They have powerful antibacterial, antiseptic properties.

This is the other note. Lots of times when we have stomach problems we don't know what to blame. Maybe it's the onions that are to blame. Onions absorb bacteria is the reason they are so good at preventing us from getting colds and flu and is the very reason we shouldn't eat an onion that has been sitting for a time after it has been cut open.

LEFT OVER ONIONS ARE POISONOUS



I had the wonderful privilege of touring Mullins Food Products, Makers of mayonnaise. Questions about food poisoning came up, and I wanted to share what I learned from a chemist.

Ed, who was our tour guide, is a food chemistry whiz. During the tour, someone asked if we really needed to worry about mayonnaise. People are always worried that mayonnaise will spoil. Ed's answer will surprise you. Ed said that all commercially-made mayo is completely safe.

"It doesn't even have to be refrigerated. No harm in refrigerating it, but it's not really necessary." He explained that the pH in mayonnaise is set at a point that bacteria could not survive in that environment. He then talked about the summer picnic, with the bowl of potato salad sitting on the table, and how everyone blames the mayonnaise when someone gets sick.

Ed says that, when food poisoning is reported, the first thing the officials look for is when the 'victim' last ate ONIONS and where those onions came from (in the potato salad?). Ed says it's not the mayonnaise (as long as it's not homemade mayo) that spoils in the outdoors. It's probably the ONIONS, and if not the onions, it's the POTATOES.

He explained onions are a huge magnet for bacteria, especially uncooked onions. You

should never plan to keep a portion of a sliced onion.. He says it's not even safe if you put it in a zip-lock bag and put it in your refrigerator.

It's already contaminated enough just by being cut open and out for a bit, that it can be a danger to you (and doubly watch out for those onions you put in your hotdogs at the baseball park!). Ed says if you take the leftover onion and cook it like crazy you'll probably be okay, but if you slice that leftover onion and put on your sandwich, you're asking for trouble. Both the onions and the moist potato in a potato salad, will attract and grow bacteria faster than any

commercial mayonnaise will even begin to break down.

Also, dogs should never eat onions. Their stomachs cannot metabolise onions.

Please remember it is dangerous to cut an onion and try to use it to cook the next day, it becomes highly poisonous for even a single night and creates toxic bacteria which may cause adverse stomach infections because of excess bile secretions and even food poisoning.

The End OS21553

The American Cancer Society Admits That Untreated Cancers Often Go Away Naturally

(But the treated ones are virtually always deadly)

Sarah C. Corriher



**Are you still walking for the cure?
That money you're raising is the
reason why they'll never find it.**

WHILE researching the use of alternative therapies that were utilized by Suzanne Somers, we came across doctors and media outlets who desperately tried to malign her reputation. Their responses were so hasty that they accidentally revealed statistics that are not normally open to the public.

"We're finding that about 25 to 30 percent of some cancers stop growing at some point, that can make some treatments look good that aren't doing anything. Until doctors figure out how to identify which patients have cancers that won't progress, the only option is to treat everyone."--
Dr. Otis Brawley, the American Cancer

While some people might consider 25 to 30 percent to be a relatively low percentage, this is actually *much* higher than their success rates for chemotherapy; particularly when you define 'cure' as lasting longer than 5 years. The true life-long cure rate bounces between 3 and 4 percent for orthodox treatments. When compared, 30% suddenly becomes a very impressive figure at a gain of 10 times. Of course, this number speaks only for those who supposedly do nothing at all. Alternative therapies get better life-long cure rates than 30%, but these numbers are not discussed publicly by medical officials, and rarely in private. Despite their 12+ years of doctoral education, none of them seem to know anything about the 1931 Nobel Prize for medicine, which was awarded to Dr. Otto Warburg for finding the cause of cancer, upon which most alternative therapies are based.

Why aren't these figures ever given to patients who are diagnosed with cancer? Why are they instead told the lie that they will certainly die if they refuse chemotherapy and radiation when the opposite is usually true?

I recall a neighbour who was diagnosed with cancer from when I was a child. He was told that even with chemotherapy, he would only have six months of remaining life. Without chemotherapy, his life span was estimated to be weeks. He refused treatment, and he is still healthy today following his 10 years of non-treatment.

He grew his own organic foods, and engaged in frequent prayer following the diagnosis. His treatment came from the great physician.

We have searched tirelessly for the success rate of those who decided to walk away from all treatments for several years, but we only found it when the American Cancer Society stumbled in its attempts to defend its bruised reputation from meekly Susan Somers. Why didn't they publicly release those numbers before? The recovery of Suzanne Somers was obviously quite embarrassing for them, because not only is she one of many who cured herself of cancer permanently (not just 5 years of "survival"), but she also went public about her experiences with alternative treatments. Had she followed the orthodox therapies, she would have had a 96% chance of not being alive, and her protracted death would have been truly horrific.



The quotation cited earlier makes another interesting point. Doctors really have no clue which cancers will progress, and which ones will not. Therefore, we must ask if early testing is *really* a good idea. With early testing, not only do the tests actually stimulate cancers through radiation, cutting, and poisoning, but doctors frequently discover anomalies that

would otherwise naturally disappear if left alone. They always treat those abnormalities, and the patients almost always die from those treatments eventually. People nowadays die from the treatments instead of the cancers, and this is shown in the establishment's own statistics. Whenever the human body is exposed to chemotherapy, cancers will strike sooner or later regardless of whether they existed initially. All chemotherapy drugs are carcinogenic, and they weaken all healthy cells. This is admitted in the official literature for adverse effects for all of the so-called anti-cancer medications, and massive cell destruction is officially a part of standard treatments by design. They claim that their medicines attack the weaker cancer cells, but they actually do that by attacking all of the

cells, and thereby the very immune system critical for recovery.

"Two to four percent of cancers respond to chemotherapy."

— Ralph Moss, Ph.D, 1995

Keep walking for the cure, but these numbers are not going to rise much. If you happen to see a rise in orthodox cancer treatment success rates, then you can be assured that the methods of measuring cure rates have changed, not the survival rates. It is how the *science* of modern medicine is cooked. Just barely surviving for 5 years is actually counted as a cure, but virtually everyone who is not counted in this figure dies between the 5 and 10 year mark. It's called "cooking the books" in accounting circles, but we call it murder. Most people are shocked when they learn that those who are killed in cancer drug trials are dismissed from the results, because they did not "complete the study". In other words, getting killed by the medicine helps the drug company's chance in getting drug approval.

"Success of most chemotherapies is appalling... There is no scientific evidence for its ability to extend in any appreciable way the lives of patients suffering from the most common organic cancer... Chemotherapy for malignancies too advanced for surgery, which accounts for 80% of all cancers, is a scientific wasteland." — Dr. Uhlrich Abel

If the cancer industry were really concerned about scientific progress, then nobody would hide the statistics. Truth does not fear investigation. Instead, its numbers are repeatedly covered up, and the scientific community eliminates from its ranks anyone who refuses to accept its zealous dogma. It's not science. It's politics, and a very deadly form of it. The corrupt scientific community has become far more interested in politics, money, power and control than the truth, or even science itself.

A Reader's Comment

Very interesting. I recently read a statement by a pathologist who, for 30 years, had been examining dead bodies for the purpose of establishing cause of death. He said that in that time he had never once seen a dead cancer patient who died from the cancer, rather in every case the patient had been killed by the so-called

treatment. The same goes for HIV, only those who accept the recommended treatment going on to develop AIDS and die, whilst those who refuse the treatment rarely even get ill. It is time we started asking exactly how much money the pharmaceutical industry makes from from these so-called medicines, and why our doctors blindly administer them without considering alternatives. At the moment my own doctor wants me to take a tablet that, according to the British government, kills more people in the UK every year than heroin, methadone, cocaine and ecstasy added together (it kills 1,200 people every year in the UK alone) and causes strokes and diabetes in many thousands more. The British company who makes this "medicine" has already paid out more than \$560million in compensation for death and injury caused by this

particular drug, with hundreds more claims pending. As well as being found guilty of causing death and injury with this "medicine", the company was also found guilty of deliberately covering up how dangerous it is. Furthermore, they were found guilty of giving doctors financial bonuses and other incentives for prescribing it for illnesses for which it had not even been licensed. To my knowledge, none of these doctors was found guilty of accepting their ill-gotten gains. The real question for me is, given all of these facts, why is it that this "medicine" is still allowed to be prescribed at all? When I challenged my doctor about it she lost her temper and threatened to report me to the authorities. LOL!!! **MG - Northern Ireland**

Pyramids In Germany? Walter Haug



THE less informed layman and even the expert may be surprised by this fact, but there are good reasons to believe in German pyramids. The archaeological outcome offers only one conclusion. **They are the biggest monuments of the Megalith-culture on the continent.** Their size even exceeds all the stony step-pyramids of Brittany. There they are called "cairns" - prehistoric grave-houses and step-pyramids built in stone without mortar including one or several burial-chambers like dolmens or vaults of corbel-stone. The most famous example is the "Cairn of Barnenez" in Brittany, France.

Cairns in Europe

Cairns are common in Great Britain with the same designation. Generally the spreading of this megalithic tombs (mega = big, lith = stone) reaches from northern Africa, the Balearic Islands, over Spain, France, Great Britain, Scandinavia, northern Germany and the northern Switzerland to Poland. Only here in

southern Germany there is a blank in the map of dissemination.

It is said that in Carnac, Brittany is the biggest megalith-monument, conventionally dated in the time about 4000 B. C.: the cairn Mont St. Michel. Now you can find place-names in southern Germany which give a hint or indication of cairns, f. e. Kirnach in the black forest near Villingen-Schwenningen with one of the biggest mounds of the Celts in Germany (diameter 100 m, height 6 m). It is built of earth and has a stony core with a central burial chamber of oak tree baulks.

The spreading of the German cairns-step pyramids

But here in southern Germany also is standing the **highest stone-step pyramid of the continent**, one of several others which have been **discovered in 1990 by Walter Haug**. They are wide-spread in the **Sternenfels** district, south of a village named **Kürnbach**, half way between Karlsruhe at the river Rhine, in the surroundings of a small town named Bretten. And they are very similar to the Brittanic and British cairns. They are grouped to complete nekropoles, f. e. the stone-mounds of **Schmie** nearby the famous and best-preserved monastery north of the alps: Maulbronn.



The round tumuli are standing close together as in the "Sommerhälde" of Schmie. Their round basic-wall is carved out of the rock by the metre. On top a tumuli of earth is heaped up. Only one narrow dead-way leads to the single tombs. In Schmie such a narrow lane is delivered in a document of 1540 as "Häldengasse".

THE CAIRN-NECROPOLIS OF SCHMIE/MAULBRONN




Above: stone grave mounds of the inner area. The basic wall of accurately square-cut sandstone (you can see on the foreground) is burst partially. The steps, hardly recognizable, are still buried.



Above: The Steingrub Portal "Drübbers II"
This extraordinary "medieval quarry" is called "Sommerhälde" or "Steingrub" (stone grave), located 2 km south of Maulbronn. It is supposed

to have been the provider of the boulders for the cistercian monastery of Maulbronn, built in 1147.



The "Hälden" of Schmie, 2 km south of Maulbronn (pic. 3), are comparable to similar burial-places of the Etruscans, f. e. the tomba-necropolis of [Cerveteri](#) north of Rome. The phenomena of this newly discovered German tumuli of stone is the odd fact, that they are erected without exception in very large and deep quarries, but Cerveteri, too, is broken out of the tuff-rock and covers a very large area.



Cerveteri is a town of the northern Lazio, in the province of Rome. Originally known as Caere (also **Caisra** and **Cisra** in Etruscan, **Agylla**, *Ἄγυλλα* in Greek), it is famous for a number of Etruscan necropolises.

Editor: This is further evidence that many of our Hebrew ancestors passed through central Europe with many deciding to stay put to form the advanced civilised nation that we know to day as Germany and who the Venerable Bede said were Anglo-Saxons who did not cross the English Channel. **The End OS 21557**

Surprising Discovery Following An Excavation In Alsace, France

From Our Netherlands Correspondent

French archaeologists made a surprising discovery during an excavation in Alsace, France, when they uncovered a substantially elongated skull dating back 1,500 years.




THE finding prompted the archaeologists to extend their search over 7.5 acres, which resulted in the discovery of an abundance of artefacts, human and animal remains from Neolithic, Gallic, Gallo-Roman, and Merovingian societies.

The skull appears to have been intentionally elongated through the practice known as cranial deformation, which is usually associated with ancient Mesoamerican cultures and was practiced extensively in Europe, Africa, Asia, and as noted, South America.

Cranial deformation is achieved by distorting the normal growth of a child's skull by applying force through binding the head between pieces of wood or binding it in cloth. It is typically carried out on an infant, as the skull is most pliable at this time. The skull was discovered during excavation of a necropolis containing 18 burials. It was discovered in a tomb belonging

to a woman who clearly held a high status position in society as she was buried with a rich assortment of treasures including gold pins, a silver mirror, beads of glass and amber, a comb made from deer antler and a number of other goods. Cranial deformation was frequently associated with the elites of society and affirmed their high status.

The important question of course is why? A number of alternative theorists have proposed that the practice was done to mimic a race of people who were born with elongated skulls and who were obviously held in high esteem. Brien Foerster has written extensively on the subject and has presented compelling evidence relating to skulls that were significantly elongated through genetics and not through cranial deformation. Many questions remain to be answered. **With acknowledgement Ancient Origins - The End**



The above PowerPoint presentation is available at Pastor Eli's website:

www.anglo-saxonisrael.com

Parts 1 - 6 plus a short introduction can now be viewed or downloaded - the latest addition part 6 covers the German people in relation to the migrations of the Tribes of Israel.

IF THE TRUTH BE KNOWN



CD 127 minutes [\[Listen to audio clip\]](#) \$16.00

Here you will find the ignored story of the massive deportations of the German peoples from Eastern Germany, Poland, the Baltic States, and the Sudetenland and its attendant horrors.

The entire library of many more audio programs is available as a bundle. All told, this is nearly 14 hours of great historical commentary! Plus, you realize a savings of \$20 dollars. For full details and to purchase go to the website:—

<http://www.iftruthbeknown.net/index.php/about/>

Pastor Eli James on Air



The Voice of Christian Israel
Sundays 2pm GMT- 9am
EST

<http://www.republicbroadcasting.org/>




Christogenos
Fridays 8pm EST- 1am
GMT Saturday

<http://www.talkshoe.com/tc/30258>



A wide range of Literature and rare book reprints in hard copy, reasonably priced, now available from the Christ's Assembly web site:

<http://christsassembly.com/literature.htm>



the
ukcolumn
LIVE

FOR THE REAL
NEWS

<http://www.youtube.com/user/ukcol>



TalkShoe

The Kingdom Message

Rev. Stephen Michael

Saturdays 10.30am (EST) 3.30pm (GMT)

<http://www.talkshoe.com/talkshoe/web/talkCast.jsp?masterId=73940&cmd=tc>

Announcements

The Christian Defence League

New Christian Crusade Church
PO Box 25
Mandeville, LA 70470. USA.
Tel. No. +1 6017498565

The Chronicles Of The Migrations Of The Twelve Tribes Of Israel From The Caucasus Mountains Into Europe

By
Pastor Eli James

The above PowerPoint presentation is available at Pastor Eli's website:

www.anglo-saxonisrael.com

Parts 1 - 6 plus a short introduction can now be viewed or downloaded - the latest addition part 6 covers the German people in relation to the migrations of the Tribes of Israel.




1 Copy: Send 3 x 2nd Class Stamps

5 Copies: Send 3 x Large Letter 2nd class stamps

P.O. Box 274,
Hemel Hempstead,
Herts HP3 9EQ
Tel: 07984 775937
www.theflameuk.com
Tel. No. 07984 775937

GERMANY'S OWN IDENTITY MAGAZINE



CONTACT

pia-6@t-online.de

Lawful Rebellion Meetings Reclaim Our Sovereignty

Watch this space for future events

The British Constitution Group

7 Holland Road

Wallasey
Wirral
CH45 7QZ

Telephone 07813 529 383
Emailinfo@thebcgroup.org.uk